## **Design Process Outline (DPO)**

## For Additional Information see Chapter Two of the Roadway Design Manual (RDM)

(Abbreviations may be found in the DPO Index)`

Project No:	Control No:	Letting Date:
Project Location:		
Designer:	Roadway Design Unit Head:	

## **SCOPING PHASE (5200)**

INITIAL PROJECT REVIEW AND SETUP – Payroll Activity 5200 (Clarity Task Code 5282)

### Information Supplied:

- Signed <u>Highway Improvement Programming Request</u> (Form DR-73) (Program Management Section Task Code 5203)
- Preliminary Pavement Determination (**M&R** Task Code 5258)
- Traffic Engineering Recommendations (**Traffic** Task Code 5256)
- Initial Purpose & Need Statement (get from Scoping Document)
- Initial Project Description (get from Scoping Document)
- Project Scoping Document (part of signed Form DR-73)
- As-Built Plans
- Photo Log
- Clarity Schedule (Program Management Section Task Code 5254)
- Stormwater Treatment Form A "Project Development" (Roadside Stabilization Unit (RSU) Task Code 5278)

#### Action:

- Meet with the RD Survey Coordinator to determine the survey needs of the project
- For projects without survey, the RD Unit Head will obtain the as-built plans and transmit them to the Highway Total Station Coordinator in Geodetic Surveys to create the project alignment
- RD Unit Head review/adjust Clarity schedule

#### Submittals:

Send notice to Clarity that the activity is done

## DESIGN TASK REQUIRED <u>BEFORE</u> BEGINNING PIH PHASE

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW MEETING 10 (Exhibit A)** – Payroll Activity 5300 (Clarity Task Code 5284)

Note: This is a **Roadway Design** (**RD**) meeting that <u>shall</u> be held for <u>all</u> New & Reconstructed projects prior to "Preliminary Roadway Design". Consult with the **RD Environmental Liaison Engineer** to determine the need for Meeting 10 on 3R projects.

## **PLAN-IN-HAND PHASE (5300)**

**PRELIMINARY ALIGNMENT DESIGN FOR BRIDGE HYDRAULICS** – Payroll Activity 5300 (Clarity Task Code 5336)

#### Action:

Preliminary design of vertical and horizontal alignments through bridge areas

#### Submittals:

• Proposed & existing alignments to Bridge Hydraulic Unit for analysis

## PRELIMINARY ROADWAY DESIGN - Payroll Activity 5300 (Clarity Task Code 5350)

### Request Information:

- Earthwork Balance Factor from the DE
- Accident Report (May be on Falcon). Request Sheet for Accident Summary
  (Form DR-312): Rate Analysis, Collision Diagram, & Spot Map (3 yr). This report
  is for NDOR use only & shall not be shared with the general public. (Traffic Task
  Code 5224)
- Traffic Counts, Design Year Traffic Data
- District/City review of property access during construction (ADA compliant?)
   (RDM 2006 Chapter Ten, Section 10.B)
- Soils information for MS4 Stormwater Treatment BMP sites

#### Information Supplied:

- <u>Highway Improvement Programming Request</u> (Form DR-73)
- Engineering Review or Initial Project Review and Setup Meet with the author of this document if/as needed
- Approved Design Relaxations/Exceptions
- CADD Files (Plotted Survey, PDU Task Code 5330)
  - o Aerials, Topography, Alignment, Location Map
- Correspondence File
- 9x9 Aerials
- As-Built Plans
- Roadview Explorer
- GeoPak Files
- Preliminary Pavement Determination from M&R (M&R Task Code 5258)
- Bridge Data Sheet (TS&L) (Bridge Task Code 5346)
- Bridge Hydraulic Study (**Bridge** Task Code 5342)
- FEMA Flood Plain Maps
- Initial Wetland Determination (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5264)
- Prelim. Landscape Concept (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5360)
- Threatened & Endangered Species (T&E) Agency Comments
- Historic Project Review (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5268)
- Traffic Recommendations (Traffic Task Code 5256)
- Lighting Appraisal (**RD Lighting Unit** Task Code 5274)
- Right-of-Way Ownership Plans (R.O.W. Task Code 5348)

 Roadside Stabilization Appraisals (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5362)

#### Action:

- Determine the Design Standard and Typical Section using <u>Nebraska Minimum</u> <u>Design Standards</u> and the RDM
- Complete Form DR-76, <u>Roadway Design Principal Controlling Design Criteria</u>, and route for signatures
- After Form DR-76 has been returned with signatures, request any design
  exceptions or relaxations that may be needed. A request for a relaxation of the
  Minimum Design Standards to the Board of Public Roads Classifications and
  Standards should be presented as a PowerPoint slide show. Requests to the
  Secretary of the Board to place your project on the agenda shall be submitted at
  least two weeks before the meeting and will include the PowerPoint slide show
  (to comply with the Open Meeting Act) and a time estimate for the presentation.
- e-mail the Railroad Liaison Engineer with the Project Control Number, Project Number, Designer, and Designer's Phone Number
- Complete the "Public Meeting Checklist" (Exhibit C)
- Fill out the preliminary <u>Waterway Permit Data Sheet</u> (Form DR-290) and justification for impacted wetlands and/or channel changes (why avoidance was not possible) and place on Falcon. Send notice to the **Environmental Program Manager** in **P&PD** (Task Code 5353)
- Conduct "Environmental Review Meeting 20" (Exhibit A) (Task Code 5378)
- Conduct Alternative Design Analysis (Task Code 5366)
- Complete the Waterway Permit Data Sheet (Form DR-290)
- Conduct Meeting A (CADD Coordination Policy, Version 8, http://www.nebraskatransportation.org/roadway-design/downloads.htm)
- Check for Right-of-Way Permits on CICS (Exhibit D)
- Design vertical and horizontal alignments
- Design intersections/frontage roads; check geometry with Traffic
- Perform preliminary earthwork computations
- Delineate and compute drainage areas
- Determine Q values and size drainage structures
- Preliminary design of culverts, storm sewers, special ditches and median drains
- Present access control recommendations to Access Control Group (Exhibit D)
- Confirm if Right-of-Way Survey is needed/ordered
- Complete the "Erosion Control Plan-in-Hand Checklist" (Exhibit F)
- Draft Covenant Agreements City/County: <u>Request for Agreement</u> (Form DR-65) (Include Stormwater Treatment for MS4 – Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the <u>Drainage and Erosion Control Manual</u> (*Drainage Manual*), Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5).
- Review by **RD Hydraulics Engineer** if a Floodway/Floodplain is near project
- Constructability/Phasing Meeting (Exhibit E). <u>Early Bridge involvement is critical</u>
- Conduct FHWA Oversight Coordination Meeting #1 (Task Code 5382) (Full oversight projects only)

- Review and Complete Stormwater Treatment Form A "Project Evaluation" (in Falcon under Roadway Correspondence)
- Identify all Stormwater Outfall locations and determine Priority Stormwater Outfalls, initiate Stormwater Treatment Form B – "Treatment BMPs" (See the Drainage Manual, Chapter Three, Section 5)
- Calculate Water Quality Volume and Discharge Rate at Priority Stormwater Outfall locations (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 6)
- Select Stormwater Treatment BMPs at outfall locations and complete initial design (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7)
- Coordinate with Adjacent MS4 Communities concerning selection and design of Stormwater Treatment BMPs (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.3)

#### Additional Information/Action by Others:

- Traffic: Studies, Signals, Signing, etc.
- RD Hydraulics: Drainage Structures and Box Culverts
- P&PD: Utilities
- **RSU**: Review of Stormwater Treatment Form B "Treatment BMPs"
- Railroad Liaison: Preliminary Plan Review (Railroad Liaison Task Code 5358)
- M&R: Soils Investigation
- M&R: Retaining Walls/Settlement
- Additional Survey (Form DR-150): For Hydraulic Surveys or missing items (e.g. sewers, water lines, center pivot, utilities, or to extend cross-sections or pavement shots)
- Aerial Photography Request for Aerial Photography (Form DR-474)
- Bridge: Bridge/Bridge Hydraulics/Bridge size culverts
- R.O.W.: Relocation Concept Study (R.O.W. Task Code 5356)
- **District**: District Plan Review No. 1 (**Program Management** Task Code 5370)
- District: Detour Location/ADA Access during construction (RDM 2006 Chapter Ten, Section 10.B)

#### Reviews:

- Scope of project with RD Unit Head and ADE (invite Roadway Design Engineer, DE, Environmental Program Manager, Environmental Analyst Supervisor, Roadside Stabilization Manager, and/or Railroad Liaison Engineer as required). Review Clarity schedule for content equal to scope of work to address "Scope Change Window". The Environmental Units will determine whether or not they want to be invited to the PIH at this meeting
- Review/revise:
  - 1. Purpose & Need Statement
  - 2. Project Description
  - 3. Project Scoping Document

Keep all versions on Falcon, date and save any changes as version R1, R2, etc.

- Preliminary Pavement Determination Review (M&R Task Code 5364)
- "Design Checklist" (Exhibit B) with RD Unit Head

- RD Unit Head review and approval of preliminary Stormwater Treatment BMP design; forward Form B – "Treatment BMPs" to the RSU
- Ditch grades and erosion control methods with the P&PD Roadside Stabilization Manager
- Sidewalk design with **Traffic Engineer** & **Traffic Analysis Engineer**; discuss crossing/signal/push button placement
- Special information from support units and other divisions
- Covenant Relinquishment Agreement (CRA) for revising (Exhibit G) (include Stormwater Treatment for MS4 Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Design for content and quality by RD Unit Head

#### Submittals:

- Agreements: Request for Agreement (Form DR-65)
- Back-up Preliminary Roadway Design to Falcon
- Stormwater Treatment within MS4 Communities Form B "Treatment BMPs"
- Send notice activity is done:
  - o RD Unit Head
  - o RD Lighting Unit Head
  - Bridge Designer
  - o **DE**
  - Design Plans Manager in PDU

- P&PD Roadside Stabilization Manager
- Traffic Engineer
- PSS Project Manager
   (See Exhibit I, Sheet #2)
- o Clarity

COST UPDATE #1 - Status 30 - Payroll Activity 5300 (Clarity Task Code 5368)

#### Action:

- Check with **RD Unit Head** for funding split (e.g. City or Railroad)
- Complete estimate of plan quantities:
  - o Project Information Sheet (Form DR-342)
  - o Project Quantity Sheet (Form DR-343)

#### Reviews:

- "Cost Estimate Checklist" (Exhibit H)
- Review of estimate by RD Unit Head

#### **Submittals:**

- Estimate to Highway Estimating Unit (in Construction) & receive Cost Update #1 prior to PIH
- Clarity

**PLAN-IN-HAND (PIH)** – Payroll Activity 5300 (Clarity Task Code 5380)

#### Information Supplied:

- Wetland Delineation Plans (2W) and Mitigation Site Priority List
- Preliminary Design Plans from PDU (PDU Task Code 5354)

#### Action:

- Request that **PDU** plot PIH plans
- Assemble PIH plans (RDM 2006 Chapter Eleven):

- PIH Title Sheet (include Location Map & Traffic ADT)
- o 2L Sheets
- o P & P Sheets
- Culvert Sections
- Typical Section
- X-Sections
- o 2W/2A Sheets
- Right-of-Way Ownership Plans
- Wetland information
- Request that District Maintenance inspect the culverts on the project (send request with PIH plans transmittal)
- Complete the <u>T&E Checklist</u> and place on Falcon; send notice to the Environmental Program Manager in P&PD (Task Code 5395)
- Conduct in-field review with Plans-In-Hand ("Plan-In-Hand Checklist", Exhibit J)
- Confirm or update the Project Description / Purpose & Need on Falcon (Task Code 5396)
- Review the completed "Public Meeting Checklist" (Exhibit C) from Clarity Task 5350
- Conduct Public Information Meeting, if indicated (Exhibit C)
  - Provide PDU with information for mosaic and displays ("Guidelines for Public Meetings", Exhibit L)
  - Provide the Communications Division Public Hearings Officer
     Involvement Coordinator with completed Public Meeting Notice Worksheet
     (Form DR-356)
- Coordinate with **P&PD Utilities Section**, discuss conflicts/resolution

#### Reviews:

- Project Scoping Document (save all versions on Falcon, date and save any changes as version R1, R2, etc.)
- Design for content and quality by RD Unit Head

#### Submittals:

- Back-up PIH Design to Falcon
- Send notice activity is done to Design Plans Manager in PDU
- Send notices PIH Plans are available (Exhibit I)
- Transmit PIH plans at least 2 weeks prior to the PIH date (Railroad personnel require 5 weeks notice), see "Distribution of Plans" (Exhibit I); distribute 5 weeks prior to Public Information Meeting when held concurrently w/PIH
- Place the completed "Erosion Control Plan-in-Hand Checklist" (Exhibit F) on Falcon and send notice to the P&PD Wetland Manager and Roadside Stabilization Manager
- Submit FAA Form 7460-1 to the Nebraska Department of Aeronautics, if required ("Airway Highway Clearances", Exhibit R)
- Send notice to Clarity the PIH has been conducted

#### PIH REPORT – Payroll Activity 5300 (Clarity Task Code 5388)

#### **Information Supplied:**

- Preliminary Utility Inspection (**P&PD Utilities Section** Task Code 5374)
- Mitigation Concept Plans (**P&PD Environmental Section** Task Code 5390)
- Bridge Borings (**M&R** Task Code 5372)
- Railroad Company Approval (Railroad Liaison Task Code 5384)
- Noise Report Determination (P&PD Noise & Air Unit Task Code 5386)
- Preliminary Project Agreements (P&PD Agreements Task Code 5340)
   (Including Stormwater Treatment for MS4 Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Preliminary Relinquishment Agreements (P&PD Agreements Task Code 5338) (Including Stormwater Treatment for MS4 – Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- (1) Combine comments/changes from PIH to one set of plans and label as PIH Set
- (2) Conduct post PIH field inspection review RD personnel office review
- (3) The **RD Unit Head** should review the Scoping Document and respond to all of the items where it is indicated that resolution will occur at the plan-in-hand
- (4) Review any changes to the project with the **P&PD Environmental Program**Manager
- (5) Review the completed "Public Meeting Checklist" (Exhibit C) from Clarity Task 5350 for changes approved by **RD Unit Head** and **ADE**
- (6) Prepare PIH Report ("Plan-In-Hand Report Outline", Exhibit K)
- (7) Review PIH Report with RD Unit Head
- (8) Submit PIH Report to Falcon and to the **ADE** for routing
- (9) Revise the routed PIH Report as needed
- (10) Review/revise Project Description and Purpose & Need Statement w/RD Unit Head (save all versions on Falcon, date and save any changes as version R1, R2, etc.)
- (11) Submit revised PIH Report to the ADE for distribution
- (12) After the PIH Report has been routed, change the date of the report to the approval date and place the PIH Report in Falcon
- (13) If applicable, request Design Relaxations/Exceptions (RDM 2006 Chapter One)

## **PUBLIC HEARING PHASE (5400)**

FUNCTIONAL DESIGN – Payroll Activity 5400 (Clarity Task Code 5428)

NOTE: Send a note to inform the **Design Plans Manager** if this activity will not be done by **PDU**.

#### **Request Information:**

- Accident Studies, Request Sheet for Accident Summary (Form DR-312)
- MS4 landscaping design (if applicable) (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 8.A)

#### **Information Supplied:**

- Signed Covenant Agreements from Clarity Task 5350
- Final Pavement Determination (**M&R** Task Code 5406)

- Soils Foundation Report (M&R Task Code 5452)
- Soils, Situation, and Subgrade Report (M&R Task Code 5450)
- Final Delineation & Mitigation Plans (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5440)
- Roadside Stabilization PIH Review (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5426)
- Landscape Concept & PIH Review (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5424)
- Functional Design Plans from **PDU** (**PDU** Task Code 5432)

#### Action:

- Make changes, if needed, as the result of the Noise Report Keep R.O.W.
   Design, Lighting, Traffic, Wetlands, etc. informed.
- Revise design according to Public Information Meeting (if held), the PIH inspection, and the approved PIH Report comments
- Conduct Meeting B (CADD Coordination Policy, Version 8)
- Design details to be considered ("Design Checklist", Exhibit B)
- Schedule a meeting with the **City**, **Irrigation District**, etc. to determine conflicts and if rehabilitation will be a part of the project
- Present access control design to Access Control Group, if needed (Exhibit D)
- Request that PDU plot Functional Plans
- Conduct "Environmental Review Meeting 30" (Exhibit A) (Task Code 5454)
- Constructability/Phasing: Consider holding a meeting (Exhibit E)
- Complete MS4 Treatment BMP design (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 8)
- MS4 Treatment BMP Plan Labeling (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 8.D)

#### Additional Information/Action by Others:

- District Plan Review No. 2 (**Program Management** Task Code 5436)
- Traffic Signals/Studies/Signing
- Lighting Layout (RD Lighting Unit Task Code 5422)
- Detour Location
- Drainage Structures and Box Culverts
- Retaining Walls
- Utilities
- Additional Survey (DR Form 150): For Hydraulic Surveys or missing items (e.g. water lines, center pivots, sewers, utilities, or to extend cross-sections or pavement shots)
- Request for Aerial Photography (Form DR-474)
- Bridge
- Bridge Hydraulics Unit
- R.O.W.

#### Reviews:

- Review/revise Project Description and Purpose & Need Statement (save all versions on Falcon, date and save any changes as version R1, R2, etc.)
- Avoidance & Minimization Review w/P&PD Environmental Program Manager
- Covenant Relinquishment Agreement (CRA) for revising (Exhibit G) (Include Stormwater Treatment for MS4 Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Review by RD Hydraulics Engineer if a Floodway/Floodplain is near project
- "Design Checklist" (Exhibit B) with RD Unit Head
- Review of design for content and quality by RD Unit Head
- MS4 Form B "Treatment BMPs" review by RD Unit Head

#### Submittals:

- Selected Final Alignment: Send through RD Unit Head to Photogrammetry and PDU to update survey (offsets, culvert data, & data sheets) & input file to R.O.W. Pre-design Supervisor
- Back-up Functional Design to Falcon
- Revised <u>Waterway Permit Data Sheet</u> (Form DR-290), if needed, to **P&PD** Wetlands Unit
- Send notice activity is done to:
  - Design Plans Manager
  - o RD Unit Head
  - o Traffic Engineer
  - Clarity
  - PSS Project Manager (See Exhibit
  - I, Sheet #2)

### COST UPDATE #2 - Status 40 - Payroll Activity 5400 (Clarity Task Code 5446)

#### Action:

- Check with RD Unit Head for funding split (e.g. City or Railroad)
- Complete estimate of plan quantities:
  - o Project Information Sheet (Form DR-342)
  - Project Quantity Sheet (Form DR-343)

#### Reviews:

- "Cost Estimate Checklist" (Exhibit H)
- RD Unit Head review of estimate

#### **Submittals:**

- Estimate to Highway Estimating (in Construction) & receive Cost Update #2
- Clarity

## **DESIGN PREP FOR PUBLIC HEARING & HIGHWAY COMMISSION** Payroll Activity 5400 (Clarity Task Code 5434)

#### Information Required Before Scheduling a Public Hearing:

 Signed Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or Environmental Assessment (EA)

- Signed City Covenant Agreement (CA) (if applicable) (Including Stormwater Treatment for MS4 – Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the Drainage Manual, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Signed Covenant Relinquishment Agreement (CRA) (if applicable) (Including Stormwater Treatment for MS4 – Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. see the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Noise Study (if a Noise Study was conducted)

#### Information Supplied:

- Air Photo Display
- Hearing Transcript

#### Action:

- Complete <u>Public Meeting Notice Worksheet</u> (Form DR- 356) & send to <u>Public Hearing Officer</u> in <u>Communications</u>
- RD Unit Head review/update of the project on the web (approximately every six months)
- Complete "Guidelines for Public Meetings" (Exhibit L) & give to PDU
- Prepare the Engineering Statement and the Public Hearing presentation
- Conduct Design Public Hearing Dry Run (Exhibit M) prior to scheduling Public Hearing
- Take the press release to the Public Hearing Dry Run for approval
- Request Public Hearing Officer in Communications schedule Public Hearing
- Conduct Design Public Hearing (Exhibit L)
- Prepare Highway Commission Statement (Exhibit N)
- Request that the Executive Secretary of the Highway Commission inform the local government(s) of the Highway Commission Meeting
- Notify the Roadway Design Engineer that the project is ready to present to the Highway Commissioners
- ADE: present Highway Commission Statement to Highway Commission for approval

#### Reviews:

- Review/revise Project Description, Purpose & Need Statement, and Scoping Document (save all versions on Falcon, date and save any changes as version R1, R2, etc.)
- Comments and plans from Public Information Meeting (if held) and label plans as "Public Information Meeting Plan Set"
- Transcript and consolidate comments and plans from Design Public Hearing and label plans as "Design Public Hearing Plan Set"
- Review and analyze the citizen comments received at the Public Hearing and respond to the originator of the comment (cc responses to the NEPA Project Manager and the Public Hearings Officer Involvement Coordinator)

#### Submittals:

- Transmit Functional Plans ("Distribution of Plans", Exhibit I)
- Hearing Statement
- Highway Commission Statement

- Expressway System projects: send Location Map and Expressway Map to the Executive Secretary of the Highway Commission 10 days before the Commission meeting
- Send notice that Functional Plans have been transmitted to:
  - o **DE**
  - Traffic Engineer
  - o Design Plans Manager
- P&PD Wetlands Unit Head
- Communication Division Public Hearing Officer

## **FINAL DESIGN PHASE (5500)**

FINAL DESIGN – Payroll Activity 5500 (Clarity Task Code 5508)

#### Information Required <u>Before</u> Beginning Final Design (Federal-Aid Projects):

- Final EA Finding Of No Significant Impact (FONSI) (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5482) (See RDM 2006 Chapter Thirteen, Section 4)
- Final EIS Record Of Decision (ROD) (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5480) (RDM - 2006 Chapter Thirteen, Section 4)

#### **Information Supplied:**

- Project Approval from Highway Commission and Governor
- Final 4F Statement (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5476) (RDM -2006 Chapter Thirteen, Section 4)
- Preliminary Landscaping Plans (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5466)
- Roadside Stabilization Erosion Control Design (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5528)
- Roadside Stabilization Erosion Control Computations (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5572)
- Final Pavement Determination (**M&R** Task Code 5504)
- Traffic Review (Traffic Task Code 5464)
- Lighting Plans, Computations, & Specifications (Lighting Unit Task Codes 5524 and 5552)
- Approved Bridge Data Sheet (**Bridge** Task Code 5460)
- Final Bridge Plans & Specifications (Bridge Task Code 5556)
- Roadway Design Plans **PDU** (**PDU** Task Code 5532)

#### Action:

- Design geometry, grades, and cross-sections for driveways, intersections, frontage roads, etc. ("Design Checklist", Exhibit B)
- Revise impacted wetland areas if/as needed
- Prepare geotechnical plans (wick drains, instrumentation, etc.)
- Conduct "Environmental Review Meeting 40" (Exhibit A) (Task Code 5512)
- Request seed mixtures from the P&PD Roadside Development Unit approximately two months prior to PS&E turn-in
- Request Special Plans from Bridge Special Projects Unit (Box Culverts using the Concrete Box Culvert Request Sheet, Form DR- 67; Retaining Walls,

- Headwalls etc., using the <u>Custom Special Plan Request Sheet</u>, Form DR-66) approximately two months prior to PS&E turn-in (Task Code 5516)
- Request that PDU plot Final Design Plans for Final Design Review
- Constructability/Phasing Meeting (Exhibit E): Review Bridge Plans, verify vertical clearance
- Conduct FHWA Oversight Coordination Meeting #2 (Task Code 5560) (Full oversight projects only)

#### Additional Information/Action by Others:

- District Final Plan Review (**Program Management Task** Code 5580)
- District: Detour Locations
- Railroad Liaison: Drainage, Constructability, Crossings, etc.
- M&R: Soils/Foundation investigation needed
- P&PD: Utilities
- Additional Survey (Form DR-150): For Hydraulic Surveys or missing items (e.g. water lines, center pivot, sewer pipe, utilities, or to extend cross-sections or pavement shots)
- Request for Aerial Photography (Form DR-474)
- R.O.W.

#### Reviews:

- Project Description, Purpose & Need Statement, and Scoping Document (save all versions on Falcon, date and save any changes as version R1, R2, etc.). If changes or revisions are required notify the Environmental Section Manager in P&PD immediately
- Designer check of lighting pole locations
- Requests & changes recommended in the District Final Plan Review
- Review by RD Hydraulics Engineer if a Floodway/Floodplain is near the project
- Hearing Plans, transcript, notes, and comments
- P&PD Roadside Stabilization Unit Head Erosion control w/cross-sections and MS4 Treatment BMPs (Task Code 5528)
- "Earthwork Checklist" (Exhibit O)
- "Design Checklist" (Exhibit B) with RD Unit Head
- Final Design Plans with RD Unit Head

#### Submittals:

- Send phasing plans to Traffic for use in producing traffic control plans
- Back-up roadway design to Falcon & Send notice Clarity Task 5508 is done to:
  - o R.O.W. Design
  - o ADE and RD Unit Head
  - District: DCE & Project Manager
  - Design Plans Manager
  - P&PD Roadside Stabilization Unit Manager

- P&PD Traffic Analysis traffic forecast needs updating
- R.O.W. Relocations with comment about business and home relocations
- PSS Project Manager (See Exhibit I, Sheet #2)
- o Clarity

• Transmit early acquisition Final Design Plans to **R.O.W.** (when applicable)

### FINAL DESIGN REVIEW - Payroll Activity 5500 (Clarity Task Code 5576)

#### **Information Supplied:**

- Final Design Plans from PDU (PDU Task Code 5532)
- Final Landscape Design & Specifications (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5568)

#### **Request Information:**

Request tree/stump counts from the **District**

#### Reviews:

- Design for content and quality by RD Unit Head
- Plans with **RD Unit Head** using "Design Checklist" (Exhibit B)
- Conduct traffic review (pavement marking plans, special plans, signals, etc.). Tell
   Traffic if the project has centerline and/or edge line rumble strips this may
   change the type of striping specified/required on the project.
- MS4 Treatment BMP labeling on Final Design Plans with **RD Unit Head** (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 8.D)

### Submittals:

- Back-up Final Design to Falcon (include culvert sections)
- Send notice activity is done to:

Design Plans Manager
 P&PD Utilities Engineer

o R.O.W. Designer o Traffic Engineer

o RD Lighting Unit Head o DE

P&PD Environmental
 Section Manager

- Request that **PDU** plot Final Design Plans showing the limits of construction
- Transmit Final Design Plans ("Distribution of Plans", Exhibit I)
- Transmit asphalt surfacing areas to M&R

## **COST UPDATE #3** - STATUS 45 – Payroll Activity 5500 (Clarity Task Code 5584) **Information Supplied:**

Receive asphalt surfacing quantities from M&R

#### Action:

- Check with **RD Unit Head** for funding split (e.g. City or Railroad)
- Complete estimate of plan quantities:
  - o Project Information Sheet (Form DR-342)
  - o Project Quantity Sheet (Form DR-343)
- Update the City Financial Agreement (<u>Request for Agreement</u>, Form DR-65) (Include Stormwater Treatment for MS4 – Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)

#### Reviews:

- "Cost Estimate Checklist" (Exhibit H)
- RD Unit Head review of estimate

#### Submittals:

- Estimate to Highway Estimating Unit (in Construction) & receive Cost Update #3
- Send City Financial Agreement to the **DE**
- Clarity

## **DESIGN REVIEW OF SUPPORT PROCESSES** – Payroll Activity Varies (Clarity Task Code Varies)

#### Reviews:

- Agreements:
  - City/County (Include MS4 Maintenance, if required)

- Irrigation
- o NRD

- o Railroad
- Wetlands
- Utilities
- Right-of-Way
- Geotechnical
- Phasing
- MS4 Construction Phasing (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 8.B)
- Promises
- Final Relinquishment Agreement (Exhibit G) (Include Stormwater Treatment MS4

   Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*,
   Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Working days and verify letting date

#### Action:

• Conduct Meeting C (CADD Coordination Policy, Version 8)

## **ROW ACQUISITION PHASE (5600)**

**PRELIMINARY RIGHT-OF-WAY PLAN REVIEW** – Payroll Activity 5600 (Clarity Task Code 5610)

#### **Information Supplied:**

- Preliminary Right-of-Way Plans from Right-of-Way Design (R.O.W. Task Code 5602)
- Final Soils Foundation Review (**M&R** Task Code 5604)

#### Reviews:

- Preliminary Right-of-Way Plans by designer and RD Unit Head
- The completed "Public Meeting Checklist" (Exhibit C) from Clarity Task 5350 for changes approved by the RD Unit Head, ADE, and Roadway Design Engineer
- Review MS4 Treatment BMP labeling on ROW plans with **RD Unit Head** (See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 8.D)

#### Action:

- Finalize the <u>Waterway Permit Data Sheet</u> (Form DR-290) and place on Falcon at: Projects\####\roadway\correspondence\projdevcorr\wetcorr and send notice to the <u>Environmental Program Manager</u> in <u>P&PD</u> (Task Code 5607). E-mail the completed form and requested attachments to Lori Ellison and the EPU Biologist in <u>P&PD</u> so that the permit process can be completed
- Conduct "Environmental Review Meeting 50" (Exhibit A) (Task Code 5608)
- Conduct "Preliminary Right-of-Way Plan Review Meeting" (Exhibit P). Document decisions and responsible party send to attendees and cc the **ADE**

#### Additional Information/Action by Others:

• Traffic: Traffic Signal and Permanent Guide Sign Locations

#### Submittals:

 When requested by Railroad Liaison, add the proposed Railroad Easements to the cross-sections and then submit to the Railroad Company through Railroad Liaison Engineer

**DESIGN PLANS TO UTILITY SECTION (See Exhibit Q)** – Payroll Activity 5600 (Clarity Task Code 5614)

#### **Information Supplied:**

• Right-of-Way Appraisal Plans (**R.O.W.** Task Code 5612)

#### Action:

- Request that **PDU** plot the Utility Plans
- Contact the **P&PD Utility Coordinator** and discuss the project

#### Reviews:

Right-of-Way Appraisal Plans

#### Submittals:

- Transmit the latest reproducible plans to P&PD Utility Section (Exhibit Q)
  - Send Notice Clarity Task 5614 is done to appropriate PSS Project Manager (See Exhibit I, Sheet #2)

## PRE-APPRAISAL MEETING – Payroll Activity 5600 (Clarity Task Code 5620) Information Supplied:

• Right-of-Way Appraisal Plans (**R.O.W.** Task Code 5612)

#### Action:

- Conduct Information Meeting (Pre-Appraisal), if warranted
  - Provide Public Hearing Officer in Communications with completed <u>Public</u> <u>Meeting Notice Worksheet</u> (DR Form 356)
  - Provide PDU with information for mosaic and displays ("Guidelines for Public Meetings", Exhibit L)
- Schedule/Conduct Information Meeting (Pre-Appraisal), contact Public Hearing
   Officer in Communications

### **FINAL PLANS PHASE (5700)**

## FINAL DESIGN MODIFICATIONS – Payroll Activity 5700 (Clarity Task Code 5705) Information Supplied:

- Roadside SWPPP Development (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5760)
- Final Green Sheet (**P&PD Environmental Section** Task Code 5740)
- Final Asphalt Computations & Typical Sections (M&R Task Code 5725)
- Utility Plans & Computations (**P&PD Utility Section** Task Code 5660)
- Right-of-Way Negotiation Plans (R.O.W. Task Code 5636)
- Traffic Control Plans (**Traffic** Task Code 5745)

#### Action:

- Make changes, if needed, as the result of appraisal and negotiation (Note: if the property in question is in condemnation proceedings, advise Legal)
- Make changes, if needed, as a result of utility conflicts Keep R.O.W., Lighting, Traffic, Wetlands, etc. informed
- Conduct "Environmental Review Meeting 60" (Exhibit A) (Task Code 5770)

#### **Additional Information/Action by Others:**

- Landscape Plan & Specification Review (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5750)
- Final Roadside Stabilization Review (P&PD Environmental Section Task Code 5755)

#### Reviews:

- Project Description, Purpose & Need Statement, and Scoping Document (save all versions on Falcon, date and save any changes as version R1, R2, etc.). If changes or revisions are required notify the Environmental Section Manager in P&PD immediately
- **District/City** review of property access during construction (ADA Compliant?) (RDM 2006 Chapter Ten, Section 10.B)
- Requests/changes as a result of appraisal and negotiation
- "Design Checklist" (Exhibit B) with RD Unit Head
- Design for content and quality by RD Unit Head

Review/Conduct rehabilitation meeting with Utilities, District, and City

#### Submittals:

Send notice/submittals of design changes to parties involved:

o R.O.W.

o City/County

Lighting Unit Head

o Traffic Engineer

○ P&PD Utilities Section

o P&PD Wetland Unit Head

o Railroad Liaison Engineer

 Construction Estimating Unit Manager

o DE

- Send plans and final surfacing areas to **M&R Estimates** for final asphalt surfacing computations
- Design changes to PDU (PDU Task Code 5765)

FINAL PLANS PACKAGE & REVIEW FOR P.S. & E. - Payroll Activity 5700 (Clarity Task Code 5790)

#### **Request Information:**

• Ask the **DCE** whether "Construction Surveying" and "Re-establish Property Corners" will be performed by State forces or bid as part of the contract.

### Information Supplied:

- Right-of-Way Certificate (R.O.W. Task Code 5666)
- Railroad Agreements (Railroad Liaison Task Codes 5640, 5644, & 5648)
- Lighting Final Plans Package (Lighting Unit Task Code 5790)
- Status of Utilities Report (P&PD Utilities Section Task Code 5735)
- Asphalt Surfacing Special Provisions (M&R Task Code 5730)
- 2-K Sheets (M&R Task Code 5720)
- Final Project Agreements (P&PD Agreements Section Task Code 5715)
   (Including Stormwater Treatment for MS4 Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Final Relinquishment Agreements (P&PD Agreements Section Task Code 5710) (Including Stormwater Treatment for MS4 – Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)

#### Action:

- Finalize design details and computations (e.g. guardrail)
- Finalize Special Provisions and Special Prosecution & Process
- Calculate % of work on railroad right-of-way within 50 feet of the centerline of the nearest railroad track (RDM 2006 Chapter Two, Section 21.B)
- Calculate % of work on railroad right-of-way outside of the first 50 feet from the centerline of the nearest railroad track (RDM - 2006 Chapter Two, Section 21.B)
- Calculate and split out quantities per Funding Group
- PS&E Forms: <u>PS&E Required Sheet</u> (Form DR-280), <u>Length Sheet</u> (Form DR-415), Grading Item Summary Sheet (Form DR-064)
- If the project includes bridge structures and/or box culverts, request that **PDU** list Standard Plan Number 490, "Bird Exclusion Netting", on the title sheet
- Prepare and Submit Supplemental City Financial Agreement to **DE** for signatures, use **PS&E** quantity and unit prices (<u>Request for Agreement</u>, Form DR-65) (Include Stormwater Treatment for MS4 – Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- Public Interest Letter (by ADE) to FHWA, if applicable (submit to Deputy Director Engineering <u>before</u> FHWA Approval and Ads Sent Out Date, see Letting Schedule) (RDM 2006 Chapter Fifteen, Section 6)
- Assemble Special Plans (Erosion Control, Guardrail Hardware, Special Access during construction, Curb Ramps, MS4, etc.)
- Request that PDU plot the PS&E plans
- Cross-check all construction notes with the computations
- Prepare the reports for the project (After final PS&E corrections made):

<ul><li>Slope</li></ul>	Slope	0	Blue	0	Paving
	Stake		top		Grades

- Place the reports in Falcon under "Construction Reports" & Notify District Project Manager
- Notify the **District Project Manager** where to find the Temporary Erosion Control sheets on Falcon (blank sheets for the contractors use)

#### Reviews:

- Project Description and Scoping Document
- Check Agreements (Including Stormwater Treatment for MS4 Maintenance of Treatment BMPs, if required. See the *Drainage Manual*, Chapter Three, Section 7.A.5)
- PS&E Plan Package with RD Unit Head and Design Plans Manager
- "Earthwork Final Plans Checklist" (Exhibit O)

#### Submittals:

- Project Plan Package to PS&E
  - Send Notice Clarity Task 5790 is done to appropriate PSS Project Manager (See Exhibit I, Sheet #2)

## **PS&E PHASE (5800)**

Payroll Activity 5800

#### **Submittals:**

- Blue-lined plans to **PDU** for PS&E changes (**PDU** Task Code 5845)
- PS&E changes to ADE/RD Unit Head to review/seal/sign & date
- Resubmit plans to PS&E

## **POST LETTING DESIGN MODIFICATIONS PHASE (5900)**

#### POST LETTING SUPPORT AND PLAN REVISION

Payroll Activity 5900

#### Action:

- Attend pre-construction meeting
- Make revisions, if needed, as the result of Construction recommendations
- Acquire <u>written</u> FHWA approval for all projects on the National Highway System and for all Federally funded projects <u>before</u> revisions are submitted to the Construction
- Obtain originals from the vault, make revisions to plans (RDM 2006 Chapter Eleven, Section 7)
- Revisions processed between the PS&E turn-in and the letting date must follow the revision process (RDM – Chapter Eleven, Section 7) and be dated after the project is executed (approximately one month after the letting date).
- Update MS4 Form B ("Treatment BMPs") as necessary

#### Submittals:

- Project Books to the **District**:
  - o Slope Stake o Blue top o Paving Grades
- Design revisions to PDU
- MS4 Form B ("Treatment BMPs") to RSU
- Revised plans (original and revised sheets) & revision letter to Construction

Exhibit A Dec. 15, 2014

## **Roadway Design/Environmental Coordination**

(Schedule all meetings through Environ. Liaison Engr. (Julie Seebold Wells)
Roadway Design Hydraulic & Environmental Liaison Section)

### **ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS:**

CE Categorical Exclusion (Class II Environmental Document)

DPO Design Process Outline

EA Environmental Assessment (Class III Environmental Document)

ECM Environmental Coordination Meeting EDU Environmental Documents Unit

EDUM Environmental Documents Unit Manager

EIS Environmental Impact Statement (Class I Environmental Document)

EPU Environmental Permits Unit IER Initial Environmental Review NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

PCE Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (Class II Environmental Document)

PIH Plan-In-Hand

PS&E Plans, Specifications and Estimates

PSS Project Scheduling System

RDELE Roadway Design Environmental Liaison Engineer

ROW Right-of-Way

RSU Roadside Stabilization Unit

SDLSS Scoping Documents and Location Studies Supervisor

T&E Threatened and Endangered

## **DEFINITIONS:**

NEPA Document – The NEPA document is the Environmental Document. To avoid confusion within this document, the environmental document will be referred to as the NEPA document, whether an EIS (Class I), PCE / CE (Class II), or an EA (Class III).

Environmental Documentation – Supporting environmental documentation including, but not limited to, agency correspondence, wetland permits, floodplain certifications and permits, Section 4(f) documents (park and recreational land, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, and historical sites), threatened and endangered species documentation, and hazardous material documentation.

## **PROCESS:**

ECMs are scheduled and documented by the RDELE. Designers request the ECM during the appropriate time according to the project schedule. The six ECMs are required for each project unless a determination is made that states a specific ECM is not required. If ECM 10 is not required, the RDELE will document the decision via e-mail. If the project scope at the time does not warrant an ECM, then no ECMs will be scheduled unless there is a scope change. For ECM 20 through ECM 60, the determination to hold additional meetings is made during a prior ECM and documented in the meeting minutes. In the event that an ECM is not required, the Designer will contact the PSS Manager listed in Clarity to have the specified ECM task(s) removed from the schedule. Projects are likely to have separate meetings outside of the ECMs that will bring important stakeholders together to discuss in further detail environmental issues related to the project.

## ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION MEETING 10 (5284) START OF PLAN PREPARATION AND PLAN-IN-HAND PHASE:

#### WHEN MEETING 10 OCCURS:

- At the start of the Plan Preparation and Plan-In-Hand Phase.
- After scoping documents are complete and designer has become familiar with the design elements for the project.

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING 10:**

- To review the DR-73 Scoping Report, Purpose & Need, and Project Description to determine if any changes are needed.
- To review project length via aerials (most recent aerial or Google Earth).
- To identify Environmental "Red Flags". Discuss design and environmental requirements
  that could impact the NEPA document and/or environmental documentation, project
  scope, project schedule, and project design. Identify environmentally sensitive areas that
  may require additional survey.
- To review potential impacts based on in-house initial wetland determination.
- Liaison will contact the EDUM to determine if Scoping Documents and Location Studies Supervisor needs to be requested at Meeting 10.
- To determine if the Bridge Engineer will be required to attend ECM 20.
- To discuss environmental class (PCE/CE/EA/EIS).

#### WHAT TO PROVIDE AT MEETING 10:

- DR-73 Scoping Document (5280)
- Base Plan (if available) and most recent aerial photo coverage of project length (Google Earth, Bing Maps, Farm Service Administration or other aerial on MicroStation)
- Initial Environmental Review Provided by Environmental Permitting Coordinator
- Historic Section 106 Review (5268) Provided by Environmental Documents Coordinator

Exhibit A Dec. 15, 2014

## Environmental Review Meeting 10 (Clarity Task 5284)

(Con	duct at the end of Payroll Activi	ty 5200, Scoping Ph	nase)	
Proj No.:	Proj Name:		Control No.:	Date:
Information Supplied:				
Initial Wetlands Determ	ination		☐Yes	□No
Engineering Review (o	Initial Proj. Review) / Location	Study	☐Yes	□ No
Engineering Review (of	miliar Foj. Review/ / Location	Ciddy		
<ul> <li>Action:</li> <li>Coordinate Class II (Category)</li> <li>Review:</li> <li>Scoping Document</li> <li>Purpose and Need – One</li> </ul>	☐ Maintenance, ☐	3R, ☐ New & Re ☐ In Progress	constructed	
<ul> <li>◆ Project Description – C</li> <li>◆ Environmental Issues</li> <li>A. NEPA Environmenta</li> <li>B. Wetlands?</li> <li>C. Channels?</li> <li>D. Potential wetland mit</li> <li>E. Archeological sites o</li> <li>F. Noise concerns?</li> <li>G. Contaminated soils of</li> <li>H. Parklands (4F-6F)?</li> </ul>	completed? Yes No  S Are/ is there on the I Classification? None  igation sites on the project? In burial grounds?  In landfills?  It is there on the project?  It is there on the project?  It is the project?	In Progress   In Progress	Further Ir	III nvestigation
<ul><li>O. Significant borrow?</li><li>P. Mapped floodplain w</li><li>Project Schedule and I</li></ul>		☐ Yes ☐ No		
Notes/Comments:				
Action Items:				
Designer:	EPU Biologis	st:		

EDU Analyst:

**Next Meeting:** 

## ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION MEETING 20 (5378) JUST BEFORE PLAN-IN-HAND INSPECTION:

#### WHEN MEETING 20 OCCURS:

After PIH plans are complete and before the PIH Inspection.

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING 20:**

- To review Purpose & Need. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Description. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Environmental impacts due to design and the avoidance, minimization & mitigation strategies for those impacts.
- To identify Environmental "Red Flags" (i.e. design requirements that could cause changes in the NEPA document and/or environmental documentation) and discuss environmental restrictions that could impact project scope, project schedule, and project design (e.g. channel changes).
- To compile a list of concerns to look at during PIH. (At PIH inspection designer will be able to investigate environmental issues brought up in ECM 10 and 20).
- To discuss stream types (perennial/ephemeral/intermittent) and impact locations along the project.

#### WHAT TO PROVIDE AT MEETING 20:

- Purpose & Need
- Project Description
- Plan-In-Hand Plans
- Initial Wetland Determination (5264) Provided by Environmental Permitting Coordinator
- Public Meeting Checklist (See Exhibit C of the DPO)

### **Environmental Review Meeting 20** (Clarity Task 5378)

(Conduct near the end of Clarity Task 5350, Preliminary Roadway Design) Proj No.: Proj Name: Control No.: Date: Information Supplied: ☐ Yes □ No Preliminary Design Plans ☐ Yes □ No Draft Alternative Analysis, if needed □ Yes □ No Wetland Permit Data Sheet, completed Action: ☐ Maintenance. ☐ 3R. ☐ New & Reconstructed Review: Scoping Document □No ☐ In Progress Project Description – Completed? Yes No ☐ In Progress **Environmental Issues** Are/ is there on the project? A. NEPA Environmental Classification? ☐ None ☐ Class I Class II Class III B. Wetlands? Yes ☐ No ☐ Further Investigation C. Channels? Yes No Further Investigation Further Investigation D. Potential wetland mitigation sites on the project? Yes No E. Archeological sites or burial grounds? Yes No **Further Investigation** F. Noise concerns? Yes No ☐ Further Investigation G. Contaminated soils or landfills? Yes No Further Investigation ☐ Further Investigation H. Parklands (4F-6F)? Yes No ☐ Further Investigation I. Historical sites (bridges, buildings, markers, ...)? Yes No J. Landscaping? Yes No K. Trees removal? Yes No L. Trees planted? Yes No M. Endangered Species? Further Investigation Yes No N. What are the Special Conditions/ Further Investigation? O. Significant borrow? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know Draft Alternative Analysis Wetland Permit Data Sheet (preliminary) Project Schedule and Letting Date Develop Action/Plans for Environmental Issues Actions by P & PD Actions by Roadway Design Determine what permits may be needed SWPPP 404 Floodplain List areas to be reviewed during the field PIH Determine what statements need to be added to the PIH Report (Exp.: migratory bird, etc.) Determine areas requiring additional survey, if needed Determine need for Pre-Application meeting Determine which future Environmental Review Meetings shall be held. Notes/Comments: **Action Items: EPU Biologist:** Designer:

**EDU Analyst:** 

**Next Meeting:** 

## ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION MEETING 30 (5454) PRIOR TO PUBLIC OUTREACH/HEARING

#### WHEN MEETING 30 OCCURS:

- After preliminary draft of the NEPA document (for EA and EIS only) is complete.
- Before public outreach (when necessary).
- PIH is complete and changes have been incorporated into plans.
- Public Hearing plans are ready; Displays and Engineering Statement for Public Hearing/Meeting is complete.
- Final Delineation and Mitigation Concept are complete.
- Threatened and Endangered (T&E) Checklist is complete.

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING 30:**

- To review Purpose & Need. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Description. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review scope of project.
- To provide the environmental staff with the necessary design information needed in the development and completion of the NEPA document and/or environmental documentation.
- To review environmental content of Public Hearing plans, displays and engineering statement. If display materials are extensive, the review should occur prior to ECM 30.
- To determine if the environmental content in the information provided to the public is clear, accurate, and necessary.
- To determine if environmental commitments need to be shown in the plans or public hearing documents.
- To summarize PIH changes and discuss items that changed from ECM 20 to ECM 30.
- To discuss ROW requirements for wetland mitigation areas or channel buffers.

#### WHAT TO PROVIDE AT MEETING 30:

- Purpose & Need
- Project Description
- Public Hearing Plans
- Displays for Public Hearing/Meeting
- Project Fact Sheet for Public Hearing/Meeting
- Invite Highway Hearings Officer if necessary

**Exhibit A** Dec. 15, 2014

### Environmental Review Meeting 30 (Clarity Task 5454)

(Conduct at the end of Clarity Task 5428, Functional Design) Proj No.: Proj Name: Control No.: Date: Information Supplied: ☐ Yes ☐ No Final Wetland Delineation & Mitigation Plans ☐ Yes Channel Change Mitigation Site Design □ No Action: Review: Purpose and Need – Completed? ☐ In Progress | | Yes | | No Project Description – Completed? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ In Progress **Environmental Issues** ■ Wetland Mitigation Site Concepts ■ Channel Change Concepts Action Plans from Meeting #20 Conservation Easements ■ Project Schedule Determine which future Environmental Review Meetings shall be held. Notes/Comments: **Action Items:** Designer: **EPU Biologist:** 

**EDU Analyst:** 

**Next Meeting:** 

## ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION MEETING 40 (5512) AFTER PUBLIC OUTREACH / START OF FINAL DESIGN PHASE:

#### WHEN MEETING 40 OCCURS:

After public meeting/public outreach activities have been completed and before the start
of Final Design activities (The goal is to have the NEPA document approved and
accepted at this point).

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING 40:**

- To review Purpose & Need. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Description. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Scope.
- To review and determine final impacts.
- To review and discuss permit requirements.
- To determine whether design changes attained by public outreach activities jeopardize the NEPA document and environmental documentation.
- To ensure that public comments have been addressed.
- To determine if project attributes are the same as what was used to put together the NEPA document and environmental documentation.
- To review wetland mitigation plans.
- To discuss constructability or meeting minutes from constructability meeting.
- To determine restricted areas and contractor's access locations.
- To estimate ROW required.
- To determine if ROW negotiator/designer will be required to attend ECM 50.

#### WHAT TO PROVIDE AT MEETING 40:

- Purpose & Need
- Project Description
- Public Hearing Plans
- Changes occurring from ECM 30
- Changes occurring from Public Meeting
- Wetland Mitigation Plans
- If there are significant changes due to the public outreach activities, then an individual ECM 40 should be requested to capture the changes.

**Exhibit A** Dec. 15, 2014

### **Environmental Review Meeting 40** (Clarity Task 5512)

(Conduct during Clarity Task 5508, Final Design) Proj No.: Proj Name: Control No.: Date: Information Supplied: ☐ No **Draft Final Design Plans** Yes ☐ Yes □No Final Alternative Analysis Action: ☐ Maintenance, ☐ 3R, ☐ New & Reconstructed Review: Final Design Plans Purpose and Need – Current? ☐ Yes No Update In Progress ♦ Project Description – Current? Yes No **Update In Progress**  Commitments made by the District Commitments made to property owners as a result of public input **Environmental Issues** Are/ is there \_\_\_\_ on the project? A. NEPA Environmental Classification? none (state funds) Class I Class II Class III B. Wetlands? Yes □No C. Channels? Yes No D. Potential wetland mitigation sites on the project? Yes No Further Investigation E. Archeological sites or burial grounds? Yes No **Further Investigation** F. Noise concerns? Yes □No ☐ Further Investigation G. Contaminated soils or landfills? Yes No Further Investigation ☐ Further Investigation H. Parklands (4F-6F)? Yes No I. Historical sites (bridges, buildings, markers, ...)? ☐ Further Investigation Yes No J. Landscaping? Yes No K. Trees removal? Yes □No L. Trees planted? Yes No M. Endangered Species? Yes ☐ No ☐ Further Investigation N. What are the Special Conditions/ Further Investigation? O. Significant borrow? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know Wetland Mitigation Site Design ♦ Channel Change Mitigation Site Design Final Alternative Analysis Project Schedule and Letting Date Outline any Special Provisions to address any environmental concerns or issues. Determine which future Environmental Meetings shall be held. **Notes/Comments: Action Items:** Designer: **EPU Biologist:** 

> **EDU Analyst:** A-10

**Next Meeting:** 

## ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION MEETING 50 (5608) RIGHT-OF-WAY PHASE:

#### WHEN MEETING 50 OCCURS:

- During ROW Phase.
- After ROW negotiated changes have been finalized.
- When channel mitigation and/or wetland mitigation design is complete and necessary ROW has been acquired.

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING 50:**

- To review Purpose & Need. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Description. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Scope.
- To determine how changes made during ROW acquisition affect the NEPA document and/or environmental documentation.
- To notify EPU and EDU of ROW negotiated changes.

#### WHAT TO PROVIDE AT MEETING 50:

• Changes to the project's design and to environmental impacts due to ROW negotiations.

**Exhibit A** Dec. 15, 2014

Environmental Review Meeting 50 (Clarity Task 5608)
(Conduct after Clarity Task 5576 "Final Design Review" and prior to Clarity Task 5610 "Review Preliminary R.O.W. Plan)

Proj No.:	Proj Name:	Control No.: Date:
Information Supplied: Final Design Plans Preliminary ROW Plan Roadside Stabilization Draft Special Provisio	n Erosion Control Design & Comps	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> </ul>
Action:  • Review:  • Purpose and Need —  • Project Description —  • Conduct Preliminary RON  • Discuss covenants/conse	Current?	ogress ogress
Notes/Comments:		
Action Items:		
Designer:	EPU Biologist:	

**Next Meeting:** 

**EDU Analyst:** 

# ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION MEETING 60 (5770) PS&E PLANS PHASE

#### WHEN MEETING 60 OCCURS:

- Prior to PS&E turn-in.
- NEPA document and environmental documentation are complete and noted.
- Designer has incorporated ROW changes into plans.
- RSU has completed review of erosion control plans.

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING 60:**

- To review Purpose & Need. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Description. Does it reflect current project design?
- To review Project Scope.
- To verify changes specified during ECM 50 are reflected.
- To review draft Green Sheet
- To confirm that restricted areas are denoted on plans before PS&E turn in (e.g. staging areas, access, concrete cleanout).
- To verify plans and Special Provisions reflect environmental commitments.

#### WHAT TO PROVIDE AT MEETING 60:

- Draft Green Sheet Provided by EPU
- PS&E Plans
- Changes to project due to ROW negotiation and acquisition.

Exhibit A Dec. 15, 2014

## Environmental Review Meeting 60 (Clarity Task 5770)

(Conduct at the end of Final Plans Phase (Payroll Activity 5700), prior to Clarity Task 5790 "Final Plans Package & Review for PS&E")

Proj No.:		Proj Name:		Control No.:	Date:
Info was aki	an Cumuliado				
morman	on Supplied: Final Plans			☐ Yes	□ No
	Waterway Permits			 ☐ Yes	□ No
	•	ons Project Development Summar	y Sheet	 Yes	_ □ No
	Erosion Control Specia	-	,	Yes	_ □ No
	Temporary Erosion Co			☐ Yes	□ No
	Special Provisions	·		Yes	☐ No
Action	:				
• Re	eview				
<b>*</b>	Purpose and Need – (	Current? Yes No Up	date In Progress		
•	Project Description – (	Current? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No 🔲 Up ons Project Development Summai	date In Progress		
<b>*</b>	Erosion Control Specia		y enect		
<b>*</b>	Temporary Erosion Co				
Notes/	Comments:				
Action	Items:				
Design		EPII Riologist:			

Designer: EPU Biologist EDU Analyst:

### PUBLIC MEETING CHECKLIST

#### Instructions

- 1. The roadway designer shall complete this checklist near the completion of Preliminary Roadway Design (Clarity Task 5350), before the Plan-In-Hand. Review this checklist with your Unit Head in order to determine which, if any, type(s) of advertised public meetings should be held for the project. This is to be reviewed and agreed to by the Assistant Design Engineer and the Roadway Design Engineer.
- 2. Review this checklist after Roadway Functional Design (Clarity Task 5428), Preliminary ROW Plan Review (Clarity Task 5610), and at all major scope changes to the project.
- 3. If it has been 12-18 months since your last advertised meeting with the public, the project should be reviewed for an <u>Information Meeting</u> or <u>Information Meeting</u> (<u>Pre-Appraisal</u>).

### **Meeting Descriptions:**

- I. Design Public Hearing An advertised meeting with the general public in the following formats:
  - A. **Presentational:** This provides for a presentational setting with the hearing conducted by the Nebraska Highway Commission. Presentations are made by the Public Hearings Officer Involvement Coordinator and the designers of the project. The public is given the opportunity to make recorded comments during the hearing or may submit written comment sheets.
  - B. **Open House:** This format provides for an open house setting consisting of stations within the hearing area where information concerning design, right-of-way, environmental, relocation assistance, etc. is available. This format provides the public the opportunity to have one-on-one conversations with staff and the Highway Commission. The public is given the opportunity to provide recorded comments during the hearing or to submit written comments.

Regardless of the format, a transcript of the hearing proceedings, including the Citizen Comment Sheets, will be produced. This transcript will become part of the project file and subsequent environmental documents.

Factors considered in holding a Design Public Hearing include:

- The project has a Class I or Class III Environmental Classification (See pg. D-2).
- Significant right-of way acquisition having substantial adverse impact to abutting properties.
- The project includes business or residential relocation.
- There are significant impacts to the community which were not addressed at a previous pubic meeting.
- A request from the Highway Commissioner, the District Engineer, the Nebraska Department of Roads administration, or the FHWA.

II. Information Meeting—An advertised meeting held with the public in an informal one-on-one format to answer general questions and to gather information regarding a proposed improvement. An Information Meeting is not usually a recorded meeting but Citizen Comment Sheets are made available to the public.

Factors considered in holding an Information Meeting are similar to the factors listed for a Design Public Hearing. Additional factors for an Information Meeting include the following:

- The elapsed time since a previous advertised public meeting
- A request from the Highway Commissioner, the District Engineer, the Right-of-Way Division, the Nebraska Department of Roads administration, or the FHWA

An Information Meeting may be held for the following purposes:

- The project has a Class I or Class III Environmental Classification (See below).
- To solicit public input prior to putting proposed design features on a plan. This Information Meeting may be held on the same day as the plan-in-hand.
- To receive public input prior to proceeding with the final design process. This meeting could be held if the public has indicated interest in the project details and may also be used when a public meeting is required to get input and comments associated with the environmental process. Public input is required if the project has adverse effects on a significant historic property and when Section 4 (f) impacts are identified. Public involvement is also required when Section 4(f) impacts are determined to be De Minimis.
- To update the public when there has been a significant change in the scope of the project.
- To meet with adjacent property owners and businesses concerning phasing and access.
- To answer questions regarding the project and the right-of-way acquisition process in a one-on-one informal format, generally referred to as a "Pre-Appraisal Information Meeting". This meeting is held after the right-of-way appraisal plans are complete and may be attended by representatives of the Right-of-Way Division.

### **Environmental Classifications:**

<u>Class I Projects</u> may significantly impact the environment. Class I projects require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.

<u>Class II Projects</u>, based on previous experience, do not have a significant impact on the environment. Class II projects require the completion of a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion, which can be approved by NDOR, or a Categorical Exclusion, which requires FHWA approval. <u>Class III Projects</u> are projects on which the impact to the environment must be determined. Class III projects require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment.

Meeting	Class I Project	Class II Project	Class III Project
Information Meeting	Meeting required	Meeting not required	Meeting may be held if input is needed
Design Hearing (A signed Draft Environmental Document, and Noise Study (if needed), is required before a Design Hearing can be advertised, if federal funds are involved)	Meeting required; if appropriate, a combined location/design hearing may be held	Meeting may be held if a review of the project (e.g. scope, amount of new right-of-way required, and/or other factors) indicate	Meeting required

## **PUBLIC MEETING CHECKLIST**

# CHECKLIST FOR ROADWAY DESIGN "ADVERTISED" MEETINGS WITH THE PUBLIC

	-		Control No.:				
	-	et Location:					
	sigr		Jnit Head:				
Da	ite:	L	etting Date:				
Ac	lmi	nistrative Input					
		est for an advertised public meeting from a G	Sovernment			/agency)	
	cal?			Fede	eral?		
NE	OR	R (District Engineer, Roadway Design Engine	er, etc.)?				
Pr	oje	ct Impacts					
1.		ccess to property.					
		. Is there a permanent modification of acces		-		Yes 🗌	No 🗌
	В.	. Will the modification of access cause a cha	inge in the $\iota$	ise of the	9	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
		property?					
		Business or Residence and how impacted?	•				
2.	Tra	affic control during construction.					
			ength	(miles)			
		Traffic? ADT (const. yr.) Location?	J	` ,	(Load r	estriction	s?
		What is the condition of the alternate route	s?		•		
	В.	Will there be phasing of the project? Yes		Traffic	?	ADT (con	st. vr.)
		Phasing affects access to business, home,				No □ È	,
		What will be the length of time of inconveni	•			_	
		At what time of the year will the construction		•			
		Are there local events which will be impact	•				
	C.	Will the project include a temporary road?		· · · · · ·			
		How long will the temporary road be neede	ed? (d	ays/montl	าร)		
		Is additional R.O.W required for the tempor		yes □	No □		
	D.	Does the project affect emergency vehicle	•	Yes 🗌	No 🗌		
		Have you contacted local emergency service		Yes 🗌	No 🗌		
	E.	Does the project affect school crossings/ro		Yes 🗌	No 🗌		
		Have you contacted school officials?		Yes □	No 🗌		

ა.		vironmentai issues
	Α.	Does the project have a NEPA Environmental Classification? Yes ☐ No ☐
		(See DR Form 53, "Probable Class of NEPA Action Form" or contact the Environmental
		Section Manager)
		Class I   Class II   Or Class III   Class I or III Environmental Classification
		requires a Design Public Hearing.
	B.	Are wetlands impacted? Yes ☐ No ☐ Area acres, Type(s)
		Channel change? Yes ☐ No ☐ Length feet.
	C.	Is there wetland mitigation on the project? Yes \( \scale= \) No \( \scale= \) How much? acres.
	D.	Does the project impact burial grounds? Yes ☐ No ☐
	E.	Are there noise concerns? Yes ☐ No ☐
	F.	Are there contaminated soils? Yes \( \scale= \) No \( \scale= \)
	G.	Does the project impact: Parklands ☐ Historic sites ☐ Wildlife refuges ☐
	Н.	Are there historical sites on the project? Yes ☐ No ☐
	I.	Are there adverse effects on significant historical sites? Yes \( \square\) No \( \square\)
		(If yes, public involvement is required).
	J.	Does the project impact trees and/or landscaping? Yes ☐ No ☐
Ric	aht-	-of-Way
1	۸۵	cess Management
٠.		Are you buying Controlled Access?  Yes  No
	<i>,</i>	(If yes, this requires Highway Commission and Governor approval).
		(ii yes, this requires riighway commission and covernor approvar).
2.	Ac	quiring Right Of Way (Yes ☐ No ☐)
		res – How much? (acres), # of tracts impacted?
	•	
3.	Ite	ms that will require additional R.O.W.
	A.	Are you building additional lanes? Yes ☐ No ☐
	B.	Are you building sidewalk or a bike path? Yes ☐ No ☐
	C.	Adding traffic signal(s)? Yes ☐ No ☐ Where?
		Lighting? Yes No Intersection? Continuous?
		Does the project include Retaining walls? Yes ☐ No ☐
	F.	Does the project include the construction of a drainage system? Yes ☐ No ☐
4.	Bri	idge overpass or underpass.
٦.		hicular?  Pedestrian?  Railroad?
		eview all information from Planning & Project Development)
	(110	eview all information from Flamming & Froject Development,
5.	Re	locations.
	A.	No. of Business List
	B.	No. of Residences
		Sanitary System? Yes ☐ No ☐
		Well? Yes □ No □
		Center pivot/irrigation impacted? Yes ☐ No ☐

Project Location

Project No.

C.N.

<u>Instructions:</u> Your recommendation for each meeting requires an explanation, even if your answer is no.						
The follo	The following type(s) of advertised public meetings should be held for this project:					
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	* Information Meeting Explanation				
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	* <b>Design Public Hearing</b> (yes when Environme Explanation	ental Classification I or III)			
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Presentation to the Highway Commission Explanation				
Yes □	No 🗌	* Information Meeting (Pre-Appraisal) Explanation				
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No Advertised Meeting with the Public Explanation				
available Changes	on the is to the p	ormally placed on the internet. The timing as to vinternet is determined by the District Engineer aroublic involvement decision document shall be a Engineer.	nd Assistant Design Engineer.			
Recomm	nended b	oy:/ Unit Head				
		Unit Head	Date			
Approved by:// Rdwy. Design Asst. Design Engineer Date		 Date				
Approve	d by:		1			
		P&PD Environmental Manager	Date			
Approve	d by:					
		Roadway Design Engineer	Date			
Approved by://		<u> </u>				
		District Engineer Coordinating w/ Hwy Commissioner	Date			
	:: State Highway Commissioner Public Hearings Officer Involvement Coordinator					

## **Covenant and Final; Relinquishment Agreements**

Roadway Designers Process

#### **Covenant Relinquishment Agreement: (CRA)**

- 1. Review Planning & Project Development's (P&PD) CRA(s), if any.
- 2. Determine if a new or revised CRA is needed.
- 3. If needed, prepare the following information for the CRA:
  - A. Location Map Exhibit (Plan Development Unit). Review with your supervisor.
- 4. Submit the information to P&PD.
- 5. Review P&PD's draft CRA and comment.
- 6. Receive signed copy before scheduling the dry run of the Public Hearing.

#### Final Relinquishment Agreement: (FRA)

- 1. Review Covenant Relinquishment Agreement.
- 2. Prepare information for FRA.
  - A. Modify Location Map Exhibit (Plan Development Unit). Review with your supervisor.
- 3. Submit the information to P&PD.
- 4. Review the draft FRA and return it to P&PD.

#### **Routing List for Agreements:**

- 1. Roadway Design Engineer (Jim Knott)
- 2. Director's Office Deputy Director Engineering (Khalil Jabber)
- 3. Planning & Project Development Agreement Services (Jerry Adams)
- 4. Controller Division Finance Administrator (Steve Maraman)
- 5. Planning & Project Development Agreement Engineer (Dawn Knott Randy ElDorado)
- 6. Planning & Project Development Engineer (Mike Owen)
- 7. Return to: Planning & Project Development Agreement Services (Jerry Adams)

Exhibit G

Dec. 15, 2014

## **Distribution of Plans**

Please note substantial changes from the Engineering Review on the plans transmittal letter.

Clarity Task 5380: Preliminary Plans for Plan-In-Hand (PIH). Include location map & typical section

# Of Sets Half size plans (use cell "Preliminary Plans") distribute 2 weeks prior to PIH

- 4 For our use on the Plan-In-Hand field inspection
- 1 -★ Bridge [M. Traynowicz] (if applicable)
- 1 Traffic Engineering [D. Waddle] (send "Constructability Issues" Checklist, Exhibit E)
- 1 -★ R.O.W. invite designer on PIH [cc D. Foreman & ROW Project Manager] (if buying ROW)
- 2 -★ Materials & Research [★M. Lindemann, & B. Varilek; thru M. Syslo]
- 3 -★ District Construction Office (DCE/Office, PM, & Main. Super. Send "Constructability Issues" Checklist, Ex. E)
- 1 ★ Railroad Liaison [T. Palmer] (incl: X-sects, show exist. RR ROW & location of rails)
- 5 -\* Planning & Project Development (P&PD) [\*R. Poe, 2 for J. Jurgens, & 2 for \*\* B. Neemann] (invite to PIH if applicable, See Exhibit J, pg. 6)
- 2 Highway Archaeologist [K. Lamb K. Paitz]
- 2 FHWA (\*when federal oversight) [Joseph Werning] (only Interstate New and Reconstruction projects)
- 1 Plans Manager [P. Brunken]
- 1 -★ City or County (if impacted)
- 1 Airport Authority (if airport near project)
- 2 Construction Div. [C. Oie, F. Brill "Constructability Issues" Checklist, Exhibit E. ask for Working days/ Letting]

Notify plans are on Falcon – DCE, Lighting Engineer [C. Humphrey], P&PD Scoping & Utilities Engr. [Brandie Neemann], P&PD Environmental Permits Unit Manager [Tony Ringenberg], P&PD Traffic Counter Shop [S. Stroud PSS], Project Manager [See Sheet I-2]

 ★ - Invite to PIH with District Construction Engr., Maint. Supervisor, & PM – (also on Exhibit J, pq. 6)

Note: Railroad personnel need 5 weeks notice to attend PIH

Clarity Task 5434: Functional Plans (Hearing Plans) (use cell "Preliminary Plans")

- #2 sets  $\binom{1}{2}$  District Construction Office (DCE/Office & PM)
  - 1 set  $(^{1}/_{2})$  Affected Divisions and FHWA, if major change was made to the PIH plans (Ex. Major change in the grade line 1 ( $^{1}/_{2}$  size) set to O. Qudus, M&R)
  - 1 set  $(^{1}/_{2})$  City and/or County (if impacted)
- 4 sets 2(full) & 2(1/2) Public Hearing Plans take along to Public Hearing
- #1 set  $\binom{1}{2}$  Planning & Project Development [B. Neemann]
  - 1 set  $\binom{1}{2}$  Plans Manager [P. Brunken]
- #1 set (1/2) Railroad Liaison [T. Palmer] (Incl. X-sec. showing exist. RR ROW & location of the rails)

Notify plans are on Falcon- DCE, Traffic Engineering [D. Waddle], P&PD Environmental Section Mgr. [J. Jurgens], P&PD Scoping & Utilities Engr. [Brandie Neemann], PSS Project Manager [See Sheet I-2]

# - Distribute 5 weeks prior to Public Hearing if applicable

#### Clarity Task 5576: Final Design Plans - Include Location map & typical section

# Of Sets (half size plans) (use cell "Preliminary Plans")

- 1 Construction Div. [F. Brill Send "Constructability Issues" Checklist, Exhibit E]
- 1 Plans Manager [P. Brunken]
- 2 Planning & Project Development [J. Barber, & R. Poe]
- 2 Materials & Research [M. Lindemann, & B. Varilek; thru M. Syslo] (3 sets if Asphalt Surfacing)
- 1 R.O.W. Design Engineer [D. Foreman] (include cross sections)
- 2 District Construction Office (DCE/Office & PM)
- 1 FHWA [Joseph Werning] (If federal overview is required for project)
- 1 Bridge [M. Traynowicz] (plan and profile sheets of bridge areas only)
- 1 City and/or County (if impacted)
- 2 Highway Archaeologist [K. Lamb K. Paitz]
- 1 Keep available in Roadway Design (stamp Final Design Plans)
- 1 Airport Authority (if near airport, See Exhibit R)
- 1 Railroad Liaison [T. Palmer] (include culvert X-sec. & X-sec. w/ RR ROW and location of rails shown)

Notify plans are on Falcon – DCE, Traffic Engr. & Asst. Traffic Engr. [D. Waddle & A. Swanson].

P&PD Environmental Section Manager [J. Jurgens, Lighting Engineer [C. Humphrey], P&PD Scoping & Utilities Engr. [Brandie Neemann], PSS Project Manager [See Below]

#### Clarity Task 5614: Design Plans to Utility Section

1 - P&PD [Scoping & Utilities Engineer B. Neemann] send after ROW negotiations. (Exhibit Q)

Notify plans are on Falcon - P&PD Scoping & Utilities Engr. [Brandie Neemann], PSS Project Manager [See Below]

**NOTE:** Changes to the design after Final Design plans are sent out: A Notification of change should be given to the affected Divisions (ex: ROW, Wetlands/ Environmental Section, Utilities, District - DCE & PM). This note or E-mail should include: Project Name & Control Number, a brief description of the change, location, effect on the project, and the anticipated time updated plans will be available.

#### **PSS Project Manager Assignments**

Districts 1 & 6:

Cindy Hosler

District 2 & Interstate Projects: Lloyd Peterson

District 2:

Districts 3 & 7:

Districts 4 & 5:

District 8:

Cindy Hosler

Lloyd Peterson

Drew Parks

Paul Fintel

Jim Grupe

Steve Moore

## PLAN-IN-HAND CHECKLIST

Date of Inspection: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_/ Project No: C.N. Project Name: Project Location: Designer: Unit Head: Project Type: New Construction Reconstruction 3R 🗌 Design Standard: \_\_\_\_\_ Terrain: Level Rolling Design Speed: mph National Functional Classification: \_\_\_\_\_ State Functional Classification: On NHS? Yes No No Yes ☐ No ☐ On Priority Commercial System? Letting Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Working Days: \_\_\_\_\_ Existing Roadway: Width \_\_\_\_\_ Depth \_\_\_\_\_ Type \_\_\_\_ Earth Shoulder Width: \_\_\_\_\_ Width \_\_\_\_\_ Existing Shoulder: Depth \_\_\_\_\_ Type \_\_\_\_ Width \_\_\_\_ Depth \_\_\_\_\_ Type \_\_\_\_ Design Roadway: Mill: Class/Depth \_\_\_\_/\_\_ Earth Shoulder Width: \_\_\_\_\_ Design Shoulder: Width \_\_\_\_\_ Depth \_\_\_\_ Type \_\_\_\_ Existing Clear Zone/Lateral Obstacle Clearance: \_\_\_\_\_ Existing 1:6 Side Slopes? \_\_\_\_\_ **TRAFFIC COUNT** 20 20 ADT DHV % Heavy Trucks **Twenty-Year Forecast Map:** Attendance:

List of specific design questions:
Lighting recommendations:
Eighting resommendations.
Utility conflicts or utilities not show on plans:
Clinty connects of dunities not show on plans.
Stream Gauge installations:
Substation locations:
- Cabotation Totalione.
Railroad involvement (measure distance to signals and length of crossing):
- Name and inversely (measure distance to eightic and length of election).
Safety Hazards within 1000 ft. of the project:
- Caroty Fiazardo William 1000 II. Of the project
Airports within four miles of the project (see Exhibit R):
All ports within rour times of the project (See Exhibit IV).
Bridge recommendations:
Bridge recommendations.
Bridge structures less than 20 ft. in length (notify Bridge Division for inspection):
Bridge structures less than 20 ft. In length (notify Bridge Division for inspection).
Cuardrail to remain in place do and treatments most NCURR 250 or MACU2
Guardrail to remain in place; do end treatments meet NCHPR 350 or MASH?
Overdeelt to remain in place height at completion of project (07 to sale as the NHO 001/15 all 11/15)
Guardrail to remain in place; height at completion of project (27 in. min. on the NHS, 26½ in. other projects):

Guardrail connections to a bridge; do they meet current standards? (request determination from Bridge):
Surfacing or removal recommendation for raised medians (request from Traffic):
Widening recommendations for horizontal curves:
Within corporate limits of:
Hazardous waste/underground storage tank sites:
Wetland/floodplain considerations:
4-F/6-F lands impacted:
Tree/stump count (trees/stumps larger than 80 in. circumference at 40 in. height):
Clearing & grubbing:
Relinquishments:
•
Additional survey:
FHWA Design Exceptions/Relaxations of the MDS:

#### **DISTRICT RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Public Meeting (Exhibit C):
Balance factor and material availability:
Accommodation of Traffic:
Detour (include Hwy #s and Ref. Posts):
Phasing/Constructability Issues (Exhibit E):
Temporary road location and design:
Traffic affected adversely enough to be a "Significant Project"? Yes ☐ No ☐
(If Yes, a Traffic Management Plan is required, see Exhibit K, pg. K-5).
Guardrail removal:
Salvage items: (e.g. guardrail, delineators)
Surfacing comments:
Other road templates:
Snow control:
Erosion Control considerations (Exhibit F):
Preferred Concrete Flume Type:
Special accessibility needs during construction (ADA):
Sidewalks/Bicycle Paths:
Items to be accomplished by State Forces:
Re-establish Lot Corners (corridor protection, etc.)? Yes  No
Are logo signs to be removed? Yes  No
Miscellaneous:

## INVITE TO THE PLAN-IN-HAND

(See Exhibit I for distribution of plans)

- 1. Bridge Personnel (if bridges on project)
- 2. R.O.W. Designer [cc D. Foreman & ROW Project Manager] (if buying ROW)
- 3. Materials & Research Geotechnical Engineer [M. Lindemann]
- 4. District Engineer, Construction Engineer, Maintenance Supervisor, Project Manager
- 5. Railroad Liaison [T. Palmer] (RR personnel need 5 weeks advance notice to attend PIH)
- 6. Planning & Project Development Environmental [T. Ringenberg & R. Poe through J. Jurgens] (if applicable)
- 7. Planning & Project Development Assigned Environmental Permits Unit Coordinator [D. Dittmer, M. Schroer, R. Walkowiak, N. Burnham, J. Williams, K. Baker, P. Sward, or S. Sisel] (if applicable)
- 8. T&E Biologist [M. Marinovich] (if applicable)
- 9. Planning & Project Development Scoping & Utilities Engineer [B. Neemann]
- 10. Planning & Project Development Utilities Coordinator [through B. Neemann]
- 11. FHWA (when oversight) [Joseph Werning] (only Interstate New and Reconstruction projects)
- 12. City and/or County Personnel (if impacted)

#### ITEMS TO TAKE ON PIH:

Camera, This checklist or a customized list
100 ft. tape or equivalent Correspondence file(s)
Digital hand level Four sets of half-size plans
Safety vest, cap/hard hat One set of half-size cross sections
Strobe light One set of ROW ownership plans

**NOTE:** Be aware of your surroundings, traffic may not slow down for you and rattlesnakes enjoy to warmth of the culverts that you are inspecting.

## PRE & POST PLAN-IN-HAND NOTES

**Wetlands/ Environmental Issues:** Following the plan in hand inspection, if there are changes to the project the designer will meet with the Environmental Program Manager or his representative to review the changes and determine if changes to the pre-permit application consultation process will be necessary.

**3R Projects:** The need for a plan-in-hand will be determined on a project-by-project basis. A plan-in-hand <u>is</u> required if the project is on the NHS. A plan-in-hand is <u>not</u> required if a project has existing 1:6 foreslopes, but may be held if it would be beneficial.

**Raised median:** Raised medians on high speed roadways will be usually be removed with the project and replaced with a painted median. For existing raised medians on the mainline roadway: check with the Traffic Division before the plan-in-hand and with the District at the plan-in-hand to find out if they have a very good reason why the raised median should remain.

**Airplane:** If taking an aircraft and extra seats are available coordinate with the Bridge Division in case they need to inspect a nearby bridge.

**Municipalities:** If a project is located within the corporate limits and we anticipate the municipality will need to share in the project's cost we need to invite representatives of the municipality to attend the plan-in-hand.

**Lighting:** (Determined by the Lighting Engineer) If lighting is needed tell the municipality at the plan-in-hand what their share of the estimated costs will be for the installation of the lights and that the energy and maintenance costs will be 100% the municipality's cost. This also needs to be in the city agreement (the energy costs will not be in the city agreement but should be known in case the municipality asks). Thus, well in advance of the plan-in-hand, we need to get a recommendation from the Lighting Engineer if lighting will or will not be a part of the project and what its estimated construction costs will be as well as what the anticipated energy costs will be.

**Utilities:** Review project with Utility Coordinator before the plan-in-hand. Invite them to the plan-in-hand. After the plan-in-hand meet with the Utility Coordinator to review utilities that will need to be surveyed.

**Miscellaneous:** If the project is likely to have questions from the public, generally an urban project or one with major new alignment, a meeting may be scheduled shortly after the plan-in-hand with the Director and Deputy Director-Engineering to inform them about the project.

## **Plan-In-Hand Report Outline**

Date:	Date of Report (Note: After the PIH Report has been routed, change this to the date of the approved report)
From:	Designer
To:	Project File
Thru:	Unit Head or Assistant Design Engineer
Subject:	Plan-In-Hand Report (The Clarity "Plan-In-Hand" late date is/_/_)
-	Project number, name of project, and control number (The Clarity letting date is//)
	(When functioning as a scoping document, the subject line should read "Plan-In-Hand and
	Scoping Report)

- \* Location: Beginning and end location reference posts. (Note change to project length)
- \* Scope of Work: General statement of work involved [Grading, structures, surfacing type/ depth (Lane and Shoulder), etc.]
- \* Traffic Count: Tabular form (New & Recon. = Initial year of construction and 20 years in the future) (3R = Initial year of construction and 20 years in the future for Concrete surfacing and full depth Asphalt surfacing or initial year of construction and 10 years in the future for Asphalt overlay).
- **★ Design Standard:** 
  - 1. New and Reconstructed DR number, class, and terrain
  - 2. (3R) design year traffic (Initial year of construction plus 20 years for Concrete surfacing and full depth Asphalt surfacing or 10 years for Asphalt Surfacing overlay).
  - 3. On Priority Commercial System?
  - 4. On National Highway System?
- \* Crash History Analysis: Include a statement such as "Traffic Engineering has performed a review and analysis of the crashes on this segment of roadway and as a result of this study have determined that (1) no additional crash mitigation measures are necessary, (2) the following mitigation measures will be incorporated in the project, or (3) additional study is necessary at the following locations to determine the appropriate mitigation measures. The full details of the Traffic Engineering report are in the project file."
- **★ General:** Date of the plan-in-hand and persons present
- \* Bridges: Proposed work and condition of bridges (Include "HS" (operating) rating of bridges to be used in place or reconstructed). Access through CICS1, Option 2 (Bridge Inventory and Rating System), Screen 07 (Bridge Load Rating).
- **★ Agreements:** List agreements required and city participation if required
- \* Balance Factor: Balance factor recommended by the District
- **★ Material Needed:** Note "Project is balanced" or where borrow may be available
- **★ Accommodation of Traffic:** (Exhibit E)

Detour used – use highway #'s and reference posts

Phasing

Temporary Road location and design

Traffic affected adversely enough to be classified a "Significant Project" (Page L-5)

- **★ Constructability Issues:** If any (Exhibit E)
- \* Items left to be Determined from the Scoping Document: e.g. "Grading from the hinge point may be required for the following work (Roadway Design will make a determination at the Plan-in-Hand):"
- **★ Changes:** Major changes to the Engr. Review Report & DR73 Scoping Document and any changes to the plan-in-hand plans [Incl. est. cost of changes (*Line shifts etc*)]
- \* Right-of-Way: ROW will be required and est. # of tracts. Lot corner establishment: contract item? Access Control Committee recommendation
- \* Relocation Assistance: Relocation assistance or building removal will be required
- \* Miscellaneous: Shelterbelt or irrigation well removals, pivot interference, special access consideration, drainage, channel changes, median surfacing, etc.
- \* Snow Control: If any (4:1 backslope, living snow fence, wider ditch, etc.)

- \* Relinguishments: Potential highway relinguishments to county or city
- \* Roadside Development: Type of seeding, erosion control and present or future landscaping plan
- **★ MS4:** Project located in an MS4 Community? Stormwater Treatment assessment required? Specify if Treatment BMPs will be included in the project.
- **▼ Public Meetings:** Anticipated public hearings and/or information meetings
- ★ 4F-6F Lands: Possible park or school land
- **★ Historic Properties:** Possible impacts
- \* Signals: Anticipated traffic signals.
- **★ Lighting:** Anticipated lighting; intersection or continuous.
- **★ Utilities:** Any unusual utility conflicts. Are stream gauge installations present? (Update utilities shown on plans.)
- \* Railroad: Any railroad involvement on project or detour.
- **★ Removals:** If Maintenance will remove guardrail or delineators: recommended by the District.
- **★ Wetlands:** Possible wetlands, 404 permit, etc.
- ★ Flood Plain: Encroachment on FEMA flood plain (See Exhibit S for wording).
- **★ Special Investigation:** Any areas requiring special investigation from other divisions.
- \* Construction Schedule: Working days/ construction seasons. Request from the Final Plans Coordinator: Construction Division.
- **★ Templates:** Connecting highway or street templates.
- \* Exceptions/ Relaxation of Standards: Note requests for exceptions or relaxation of standards, Incl. supporting data. (Note if "No exceptions are required").
- \* Hazardous Waste: Note previous or existing gas stations, fuel storage sites, factories, landfills, substations, etc. permit requirements?
- **★ Safety Enhancements:** List safety enhancements (*Page K-4*).
- \* Accommodation of Bicycles and Pedestrians: If bicycle paths <u>will</u> be included on this project, briefly note where: Bicycle path... station to station on the south side. If bicycle paths <u>will not</u> be included, briefly mention items that will improve bicycle travel such as new surfacing, surfaced or widened shoulders.
- \* Curb Ramps & Sidewalks: Note whether curb ramp and sidewalk construction will be included on the project. For example: "Curb ramps and sidewalks are in place and will not be included with this project" or "Curb ramps will be included and blended to the sidewalks where required within the project limits."
- \* ADA Access During Construction: See Chapter Ten of the RDM, Section 10.B.7.
- \* Retaining Walls: Height, Length, and location, or "None anticipated".
- ★ Airport: Airports within four miles of the project.
  Comments: Refer to the Plan-In-Hand plans for additional comments.

Note: Headings with a ★ must have comments on all projects.

**Wetlands/ Environmental Issues:** Following the plan in hand inspection, if there are changes to the project, the designer will meet with the Environmental Program Manager or his/her representative to review the changes and to determine if changes to the pre-permit application consultation process will be necessary.

#### **Attachments:**

- Location Map
- 2. Scoping Document (for approval routing only, without the Accidents)
- 3. Purpose and Need Statement (for approval routing only)
- 4. Project Description (for approval routing only)
- 5. DR Form 76, "Principal Controlling Design Criteria" (for approval routing only)
- 6 Miscellaneous
  - **Note:** DO NOT INCLUDE the Accident Report (NOT EVEN for approval routing)

#### Give Location on Falcon for:

- Purpose and Need Statement
- 2. Project Description
- T&E Checklist

#### **Plan-In-Hand Report Transmittal**

#### Approval Routing:

- 1. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #1
- 2. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #2
- 3. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #3
- 4. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #4
- 5. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #5
- 6-5. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #6 #5 (in charge of project)
- 6. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #6 [N. Sorben]
- 7. Roadway Design Engineer [J. Knott]
- 8. Traffic Engineer [D. Waddle]
- 9. Bridge Engineer [M. Traynowicz]
- 10. District District Engineer
- 11. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #6 [N. Sorben]
- 44 12. Roadway Designer Engineer [J. Knott]
- 12 13. Roadway Design Assistant Design Engineer #5 (in charge of project)
- 43 14. Roadway Design Administrative Assistant [S. Schuelke]

#### **Send Approved Copies To:**

Bridge Engineer [M. Traynowicz]

Communication- Hearings Officer Public Involvement Coordinator/Hwy. Commission Secretary [G. Weinert S. Kugler]

Construction-Final Plans Coord. [Frank Brill]

Materials & Research (2 copies) [M. Lindemann & B. Varilek through M. Syslo]

Project Scheduling & Program Management (2) [A. Starr]

Planning & Project Development- Div. Head (2) [M. Owen]

P & PD Scoping & Utilities Engineer [B. Neemann]

P & PD Wetlands Mgr. [T Ringenberg]

P & PD Roadside Stabilization Mgr [R. Poe]

Right Of Way Manager [B. Frickel]

ROW - Prop. Mgmt. Supervisor [T. Wicken]

ROW - Relocation Assistance [R. Ripley G. Weinert]

ROW Design Engr. (2) [D. Foreman]

P&PD Planning & Location Studies Engr. [ J. Wilkinson]

Rail & Public Transportation - Railroad Liaison (if applicable) [T. Palmer]

Traffic Engineer [D. Waddle]

FHWA (2 copies) [J. Werning] (if applicable) Include a copy of the PIH Plans with comments

District (2 copies) - District Engineer

**Project Manager** 

Include a copy of the PIH Plans with comments

City or County (if applicable)

Department of Aeronautics (if applicable)

#### Notify available on Falcon

P & PD - EPU/EDU Administrative Assistant [L. Ellison]

P&PD Environmental Section Mgr. [J. Jurgens]

P & PD - Agreements Engineer [D. Knott]

P & PD - Traffic Counter Shop [S. Stroud]

RD - Lighting Engineer [C. Humphrey]
Rail & Public Transportation - Railroad Liaison [T. Palmer]

## **Safety Enhancements**

Examples of safety enhancements on a project: This list is not an exclusive list -- other items may be added if appropriate.

New driving surface

Widened shoulders

Surfaced shoulders

Updated quardrail

Lateral obstacle removal

Widened bridges

Updated bridge curbs

Widened driving lanes

Improved vertical alignment

Improved horizontal alignment

6:1 foreslopes

Improved drainage

Updated signing

Added lanes

Left-turn lane

Right-turn lane

Lighting

Channelization

Shoulder rumble strip/edgeline stripe

Centerline rumble strip

Beveled edge

Removed/Improved skewed intersections

Signalized intersections

Closing driveways on radius

Improve safety at railroad crossings

Separate bicycle paths

Sidewalk/ Pathways

Grade separation

Remove parking

Living snow fence

Improved surfacing

Curb ramps will be built

Existing curb ramps will be upgraded to current ADA standards or rebuilt

# Guidelines for Addressing Work Zone Safety and Mobility: Identification of "Significant Projects"

A projects' affect on the flow of traffic through the work zone is critical to the success of the project in the public's perception. Projects which have the possibility of congesting traffic beyond acceptable delays may be considered a "significant project". Note that only 3 projects in the 2007 fiscal year would've required this designation.

A project may be labeled "significant" because it is:

(A) Located within the boundaries of the Transportation Management Areas (TMA) of Omaha and Lincoln and the project is expected to occupy a location for more than three (3) days with either intermittent or continuous lane closures."

or

- (B) 1. Project Characteristics to include but not be limited to: project type, type of work zone (full closure, lane reductions, cross-overs, night work, etc,), project schedule, area type (urban, suburban, rural).
  - 2. Travel and Traffic Characteristics to include but not be limited to: traffic volumes, seasonal and temporal variations, vehicle mix, type of travel (commuter, tourist, freight), public and private access, special events, impacts of weather.
  - 3. Work Zone Characteristics to include but not be limited to: impacts on local and regional transportation networks, capacity issues, level of public interest, number of travelers impacted, expected safety impacts, expected delays, impacts on nearby commercial, public, and private facilities and properties.

or

(C) Because the District Engineer so designated it.

(For additional information see Section 4 of "Guidelines for Addressing Work Zone Mobility and Safety").

This "Significant Project" designation requires:

- A decision at the Plan-In-Hand (PIH) & inclusion in the PIH Report.
- The Traffic Control Engineer will determine whether a project is "significant" or not prior to and reconfirm after the PIH & include the decision reached in the PIH report.

Public participation **will be required** when a project is declared a "Significant Project". (For additional information see Section 5 of "Guidelines for Addressing Work Zone Mobility and Safety").

**PS&E Turn-in Sheet**: Check the box reading "Work Zone Significant Project Spec. (final Plans)". This means that the project will include a special provision that refers to a Traffic Control Plan and other items that will need to be taken care of during the project.

**Guidelines for Public Meetings** 

Project I	No.:				Control No.:	Flight No.:
Location	1:				Date of Flight:	Altitude:
Unit Hea	ad:		Designer:		Design Technician:	
We wo	ould like to ho	ld the Public H	learing on or abo	ut		
The di	ry run should	be held <b>6</b> wee	ks prior to the da	te of the Public He	aring	
Start t should review Dry Ri	he hearing p I be held bef v.) The Unit I un.	reparation a ore the Powe Head, Design	bout 1 month prerPoint slides had ner, and the Des	ave been setup. sign Technician s	te of the Dry Run run. This meeting (Use hard copies) should attend the fi ior to the Public H	to Pre-
MOSA	С					
			•		ng, and represent c	urrent
The ph new flig Allow a Please hat ma For Urb Make s The mo	otos should by this are required pproximately consider the sy be disturbed an areas, lendure the scale osaic scale with the scale of th	e taken at an red, time must 6 months for a length of the pd. Try to keep gth is not the of the display II be 1" = 200',	be allowed to so adverse weather project, complexit the mosaic for R problem but if you is the first thing t 1" = 100', or 1" =	or less (large mosa hedule, fly, proces and ground condit y of the design and ural Projects unde u use too large a s	d the sensitive natur r 20', ideally between cale the aerial displayed.	e of some items en 12' and 15'.
	_		or Dual Pro	_	verPoint <del>(Preferred)</del>	
	Propose Legend, Labels, Town North Al Bridges Drivewa Surface Retainin Barrier ( Sidewal Limits or Building Right of	ed Roadway (e keep it simple Highway, Stre nship, Range of row (usually N (excluding - of ys and Interse d Shoulder (usually g / MSE Wall Curb ks f Construction s to be remov	edge of pavemente. ets, County Road on Rural Projects North to the top of ulverts and driverte and driverts and driverts and lincluding the sually shown)	t, surfaced, and not ls, Creeks/ Rivers Only rincreasing station way culverts) hose that are to be	onsurfaced drives ar , Railroads, Busines ning left to right)	ses, (Section,
	Project of Project of Project of Project of Roadwa Wetland	Station Number Centerline (open op Obliteration of Structures)	nd Potential Mitig Driveway Culvert	ation Sites s (usually not show	vn) c but typical sections	s may be shown)

#### **Special Instructions:**

**Labeling:** Label items that are mentioned in the hearing statement but not shown on the legend. Example: Temporary surfacing or future projects, etc.

**35 mm Slides:** If you shoot slides, it may be useful to print out 8.5"x 11" plots showing the area of slide coverage. This will help in planning the statement and could eliminate needless slides.

**Rural Projects:** Normal slide coverage is 0.5 mile. A lengthy project that does not have much to talk about could go to 1 mile per slide. If 1 mile per slide is used remember to double the size of text, labels and scale. Remember other details are not going to show very well on the slides.

**Urban Projects:** Normal slide coverage is 1 to 3 blocks per slide, depending on the amount of detail that needs to be shown.

Note: Do not mix scales at random. Use 1 scale for Rural and 1 scale for Urban or enlarged slide for showing more detail. TITLE: Project Name, No., Location, and Time of the hearing LOCATION MAP: Note where the Project Begins, Ends and Route (Enlarge Highway No. & County Names) Tone down Sections/Co. Rds. on Rural projects and Streets on Urban Projects so they are not so busy and distracting PHOTOLOG: If Applicable (to be determined by Designer and Unit Head) TRAFFIC COUNT: Current Year 20 Years After the initial year of construction (ex. 2005) (ex. 2028) Cars Per Day % Trucks ACCIDENT STATISTICS - Not included. ☐ TYPICAL CROSS SECTIONS: Only Show 1-Typical Section per Slide LEGEND: Keep it simple. (determined by Designer and Unit Head) Please confer with the Design Technician, as some colors do not read well. AERIALS: Photos should not be more than 2 years old (unless otherwise approved by the Unit Head). DETOUR MAP: Show similar to Location Map. Note where detour begins, ends, and route. Enlarge Highway Numbers, County Names, and the appropriate labeling. ESTIMATED PROJECT COST: Include the city's share of project cost (if required - determined by Designer and Unit Head.)

☐ CLOSING: Do you want a closing slide?

## **Public Meeting Checklist**

	Send the Highway Commission a Preliminary Hearing statement from the dry run. Schedule a meeting with the City Council prior to the dry run. Engineering Statement should note previous Public Hearing or Information Mtg. Mosaic placed on the internet (timing determined by Dist. Engineer & ADE).
_ ′	1. Laptop, Projector, Copy of PowerPoint on CD (jump drive etc.) and extension cord. If using slides: lock the tray for traveling. Check with the Public Hearings Officer Involvement Coordinator on slide projector, extra projector bulb, and extension cord.
	2. Displays - Mosaic - Extra North ArrowEtc Tape & X-acto knife to fix Mosaic
_	3. Tape & scissors to hang display
	1. Box of long pins to hang display on cork-board
□ ;	5. Plans – 4 full and 2 half-size sets
$\Box$	<ul><li>2A's, Plan &amp; Profile, &amp; X-Sections.</li><li>ROW Plans (As-built ROW plans if ownership plans are unavailable)</li></ul>
	7. Correspondence file
=	3. Copies of Environmental Impact Study (EIS)
	9. 9x9 Air photos (include stereo and magnifying glasses)
<u> </u>	0.10 extra copies of the hearing statement
	a. Send District Engineer a copy - <u>prior to hearing</u>
	b. Send Highway Commission Secretary a copy
	C. Send Hearings Officer a copy
	☐ d. Send Consultant a copy ☐ e. Media
	e. Media
□ 1	1. Fact Sheet – Page M-4 Receive from Public Involvement Coordinator (S. Kugler)
<u> </u>	2. Engineer's Scale (large and pocket)
=	3. Calculator
	4. Triangles
	5. Note pad
	6. Red & regular pencil and eraser
	7. Standard Specifications for Highway Construction book 8. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (Green book)
	8.A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (Green book) 9.Roadway Design Manual
	Drainage Design and Erosion Control Manual
	1. Turning templates
=	2. Circle/ radius templates
	3. Pocket name tag and business cards
=	4. Pointer
_	5. Pen flashlight
_	6. Nebraska Minimum Design Standards
	7. NDOR "Surface Transportation Program Book" (1-year and 5-year plan)
ェーン	8 Traffic flow man (from Transportation Planning)

# Nebraska Dept. of Roads Name or "known as" Description, i.e. "Raymond South" Project Number, Control Number

- LOCATION: Include a brief description, such as "Nebraska Highway 79 from U.S. 34 (RP 0+00=Station 0+00) to Raymond Road (RP 13+43=Station 709+10)". Include the beginning and end locations as reference posts and stations.
- PURPOSE AND NEED: Purpose Define the transportation problem to be solved. Keep this simple such as "The purpose of this project is to maintain the highway surface "... increase roadway capacity" or "... preserve and enhance the transportation asset".

  Need Describe why this project will be built. Define the problem, using data to support the purpose, such as "The need for this project is based on information from the NDOR's Pavement Management System, Materials and Research Pavement Design Section, and the District"; "The existing surfacing is 20 years old and rutted between ½" and ¾"; "Maintenance costs for the previous five year period have averaged \$ per mile".
- **SCOPE OF WORK:** Describe the general scope of work involved, i.e. This project will include earthwork grading, replacing bridge structures, culvert extensions, type and depth of surfacing, 2 12' lanes with 8' surfaced shoulders, (intersection or continuous) lighting, traffic signals, etc.
- TRAFFIC COUNT (Current & Projected): Give the information for present and future traffic counts in tabular form. Restrict the information to Cars per Day (ADT) and % Trucks (% HT), keep the information understandable for the general public.

<del>Year</del>	<del>2007</del>	<del>2027</del>
Cars per Day	<del>1165</del>	<del>1415</del>
% Trucks	<del>17</del>	<del>17</del>

#### **DO NOT INCLUDE: ACCIDENT HISTORY**

- **BRIDGES:** Describe the location of the structure by Reference Post, Station, and feature crossed. Describe the existing structure by length and width, the work to be preformed, and why, (i.e. widen to current standards). If the structure is to be removed explain why, (i.e. hydraulically inadequate) and describe the new structure by length and width.
- **ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE:** When is the construction of the project expected to begin and how long is the construction expected to last. This project is scheduled to be LET to contract in Late 2006 with construction in early 2007 continuing for 2 years. (no promised dates, the schedule may change).
- PROPOSED ACCOMODATION OF TRAFFIC DURING CONSTRUCTION: Explain how you intend to handle the traffic during construction and how access will be maintained. If a detour route is being proposed describe the route.
- RIGHT-OF-WAY: Give the expected area of any additional right-of-way to be acquired. Note any relocations by description and station.
- **WETLANDS:** Note the area of any impacted wetlands and the proposed mitigation method. If mitigation site(s) will be acquired, describe them by size and location.
- ESTIMATED COST OF THE PROJECT: 4.3 million dollars (2 or 3 significant figures)

Attachments: Location Map (Including Detour Route if applicable)

## **Public Hearing - Dry Run Invitation List**

Do not schedule the Public Hearing until after the dry run is completed. Others may be invited when appropriate.

Randy Peters (Director)

Khalil Jabber (Deputy - Engineering)
 Moe Jamshidi (Deputy - Operations)
 Jill McAuliffe (Administrative Assistant - Director's Office)
 Verneda Kelly (Administrative Assistant - Director's Office)

\* Jim Knott (Roadway Design - Division Head)

Kevin Donahoo (Roadway Design - Hydraulic Engr.)

Bob Carnazzo (Hydraulic Unit Head)

Julie Seebold Wells (Environmental Liaison Engr.)

Phil TenHulzen, (Roadway Design - Standards Engineer)

Lorraine Legg (Assistant Design Engineer)

Chris Lutz (Unit Head - Expressway)

Nathan Sorben Toby Fierstein (Unit Head - Expressway)

Jennifer Thompson (Design Consultant Coordinator)

Terry Gibson (Assistant Design Engineer)

Brian Johnson (Unit Head - Interstate)

Jeff Johnston (Unit Head - Interstate)

Ted Watson Nathan Sorben (Assistant Design Engineer)

Pat Brunken (Hwy. Design Plans Manager)

John Thomas (Assistant Design Engineer)

Lonnie Huebert (Design Consultant Coordinator)

Brendon Schmidt (Unit Head - Resurfacing)

Carl Humphrey (Lighting/Urban Engineer)

Syed Ataullah (Assistant Design Engineer)

Tony Kessler (Design Consultant Coordinator)

Kevin Krolikowski (Unit Head - Rural)

Jodi Kocher (Unit Head - Rural)

Amy Starr (Project Scheduling & Program Management)

Mary Jo Oie (Manager - Communication)

Greg Weinert Sarah Kugler (Public Hearings Officer Involvement Coordinator/Highway Commission

Secretary)

Dan Waddle (Traffic Division Head)

Claude Oie (Construction Division Head)

John Miller (Construction – Hwy. Estimating)

Mike Owen (Planning & Project Development (P&PD) - Division Head)

Jim Wilkinson (P&PD- Location Studies Engineer)

Dawn Knott Randy ElDorado (P&PD - Agreements Engineer)

Jason Jurgens (P&PD - Environmental Section Mgr.)

Tony Ringenberg (P&PD - Highway Wetlands Manager)

Jon Barber (P&PD - Environmental Analyst Supervisor)

Brandie Neemann (P&PD –Scoping & Utilities Engineer)

Mark Traynowicz (Bridge Division Head)

Bob Frickel (ROW Division Head)

Dan Foreman (ROW Design Engineer)

Ryan Huff (Rail and Public Transportation Engineer)

Mick Syslo (Materials and Research Division Head)

Dan Nichols Mark Osborn (Roadway Asset Mgmt. Engineer)

Contact the District Engineer before scheduling the Dry Run and ask if he/she is interested in attending. Schedule the dry run accordingly.

\* When scheduling the dry run, make sure the people with an \* are available, include your Assistant Design Engineer.

Outlook Address: DOR RD-Dry Run

Thomas Goodbarn (District 1 Engineer)
Tim Weander (District 2 Engineer)
Kevin Domogalla (District 3 Engineer)
Wes Wahlgren (District 4 Engineer),
Doug Hoevet (District 5 Engineer)
Gary Thayer (District 6 Engineer)
Kurt Vosburg (District 7 Engineer)
Mark Kovar (District 8 Engineer)

Head)

## **PIH Report Floodplain Wording**

#### **MAPPED COMMUNITIES**

- Condition 1. Review of Floodplain Mapping shows that the project is located in a Mapped and Participating Community and crosses or overlaps upon Zone A Floodplains.
- P-I-H Statement: Review of floodplain mapping shows that the project overlaps upon one or more mapped Zone A Floodplains. Certification(s) will be required from the Roadway Design Hydraulics Section and/or the Bridge Hydraulics Section confirming that the project conforms to floodplain regulations. Certifications will be forwarded to the Environmental Permits Unit for inclusion in a Permit Application.
- Condition 2. Review of Floodplain Mapping shows that the project is located in a Mapped and Participating Community and crosses or overlaps upon Zone A Floodplains and Floodways.
- P-I-H Statement: Review of floodplain mapping shows that the project overlaps upon one or more mapped Floodways. Project scope was addressed The project will be designed to assure that no increase in a Floodway's Base Flood Elevation occurred occurs. Certification(s) will be required from the Roadway Design Hydraulics Section and/or the Bridge Hydraulics Section confirming that the project conforms to floodplain regulations. Certifications will be forwarded to the Environmental Permits Unit for inclusion in a Permit Application.
- Condition 3. Review of Floodplain Mapping shows that the project is located in a Mapped and Participating Community and does not overlap upon any Floodplain or Floodway.
- P-I-H Statement: Review of floodplain mapping shows that the project does not overlap upon a mapped Floodplain or Floodway. No floodplain certification or permit is required for this project.
- Condition 4. Review of Floodplain Mapping shows that the project is located in a Mapped but Non-Participating Community and crosses or overlaps upon Zone A Floodplains.
- P-I-H Statement: Review of floodplain mapping shows that the project overlaps upon one or more mapped Zone A Floodplains in a non-participating community.

  Certification(s) will be required from the Roadway Design Hydraulics Section and/or the Bridge Hydraulics Section confirming that the project conforms to floodplain regulations. Certifications will be forwarded to the Environmental Permits Unit for record retention. A Permit is not required.

#### NON-MAPPED COMMUNITIES

Condition 5. Review of Floodplain Mapping shows that the project is in a Non-Mapped and Non-Participating Community and crosses or overlaps upon Potential Zone A Floodplains.

P-I-H Statement: The project is located in a non-participating community with no floodplain mapping; State Minimum Standards apply. Review of topographic mapping shows that the project overlaps upon one or more Potential Zone A Floodplains. Certification(s) will be required from the Roadway Design Hydraulics Section and/or the Bridge Hydraulics Section confirming that the project conforms to floodplain regulations. Certifications will be forwarded to the Environmental Permits Unit for record retention. A Permit is not required.

Condition 6. Review of Floodplain Mapping shows that the project is in a Non-Mapped and Non-Participating Community and does not overlap upon a Potential Zone A Floodplains).

P-I-H Statement: The project is located in a non-participating community with no floodplain mapping; State Minimum Standards apply. Review of topographic mapping shows that the project does not overlap upon a Potential Zone A Floodplain. This project does not require a floodplain certification or permit.

#### BY EXPLICIT PERMISSION OF THE ROADWAY HYDRAULICS ENGINEER ONLY

Condition 7. Review of Project Scope and Plans shows that the project work <u>Does Not Meet the Criteria for Development.</u>

P-I-H Statement: Review of the project scope, project description and Plan-In-Hand Plans by the Roadway Design Hydraulics Engineer indicates that the project work has no potential to impact the Zone A Floodplains/Floodways it might cross, and does not meet the criteria for Development within a floodplain/floodway. Certification will not be required from the Roadway Design Hydraulics Section or the Bridge Hydraulics Section. A Permit is not required.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

Flood plain Mapping Flood Hazard Maps (FHM), Flood Hazard Boundary Maps

(FHBM) or Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) accepted by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) or created for review and acceptance by FEMA that show Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA) subject to inundation by the 1% Annual

Chance Flood (100-yr Flood).

Mapping is available at the FEMA web site or within the NDOR Intranet by following the "FLOODPLAIN MAP" short cut located

at \\nebfile\design\dgnhyd\Flood Plain Cert.

Potential Zone A Floodplain A drainage way in a Non-Mapped Community, which has a

watershed area of more than 640 acres (one square mile) upstream of the point of interest (usually the highway).

Mapped Community A Community (County, City or Village) which has Floodplain

Mapping (FHM, FHBM, FIRM, or work maps) (see definition

above).

Non-Mapped Community A Community (County, City or Village) which does not have

Floodplain Mapping (see definition above). State Minimum

Standards apply within these Communities.

Participating Community A Community (County, City or Village) which is participating in

the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). A Participating Community regulates development activities, via ordinances and permits, which occur in floodplains (mapped or potential)

within in its jurisdiction.

A list of Participating Communities is maintained in the same

locations as the Floodplain Mapping (see above).

Non-Participating Community A Community (County, City or Village) which does not

participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). A non-participating community does not regulate development activities that occur in floodplains (mapped or potential) within

in its jurisdiction.

A list of Non-Participating Communities is maintained in the

same locations as the Floodplain Mapping (see above).

State Minimum Standards No construction, improvement or obstruction shall be allowed in

the

(paraphrased) floodplain unless it is demonstrated that the effect of the

construction will increase the water surface elevation of the

base (100 year) flood for a:

Zone A Floodplain - one foot or less (< 1.0 feet), and</li>

Floodway – no rise (0.0 feet).